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TWO DOLLARS PER YEAR

I would like to see the future of our Washington Chess Federation and its nouthpiece, the Washington Ohess Letter, safeguarded. For a long time I have had to create too nany pages. The tine used by me on the riagazine has been too much. My family life and other interests outside of chess have suffered.

Chess, like everything else,is fine in moderation. When the gane starts to rule a man's life it is tine to crawl out fros under.

The only decent solution was for me to shift a large share of my burden to ny assooiates. If this plan succeeds, the magazine will be better and any vacuun which may exist with iy eventual resignation will be snall and speedily filled.

The teanwork of many ninds and hands is the only nethod of perpetuating our organiza.tion. If I had continued to be the only party responsible for getting out this nagazine each rionth, I would not be doing the fair thing when I quit leaving no orgenization. The editors listed in the next colum cone into their own this issue. We must from now on depend on ther if this ragazine is to continue.

WASHINGTON CHESS FEDERATION LEADERS D. E. Wade - President, Editor and Publication Director; O. W. ManneyVice President and Tournailent Dir.; W. H. Raleigh - Tressurer; P. Husby Secretary; R. R. Merk - Circulation Dir.; K. W. Mulford - Publicity.

BIGHT TEAMS: West Seattle, Seattle Y. M. C. A., University of "ashington; Seattle Chess Club, Olympia, Kitsap County, Tacoma and McNeil Island Prison.

REGULATIONS: Two games will be played in each match. If both teams agree only one game may be played. Time limit, 40 moves in the first hour and 20 moves in each half hour thereafter. Play to begin at 1.00 P.M. Variations as to time and place of matches allowable with approval of both captains. The captain of the winning team shall furnish the tournament director the result of each match promptly. giving the complete name, board number and result of each player.

For obvious reasons McNeil Island will play all games at home. Address correspondence regarding matches to Mr. L. P. Gollaher, Associate Warden, United States Penitentiary, Lock Box 500 , Steilacoom, Washington. Catch the $11.10 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$. boat at Steilacoom. Mr. Gollaher has promised that complimentary lunch will be furnished the visiting players at the prison. The return trip may be made on the 4.30 or 5.30 pam . boat.

SCHPDULE: Round 1, October 18, 1953. West Seattle at McNeil Island; Tacoma $\nabla 8$. Seattle Y.M.C.A. at Seattle Chess Club; U. of W. vs. Kitsap County at Bremerton; Seattle Chess Club at Olympia.

Round 2, November 8, 1953. Seattle Y.M.C.A. at McNeil Isiand; $\mathbb{O}$. of W. at "est Seattle; Seattle Chess Club at Tacoma; Kitsap County at Olympia.

Round 3, November 29, 1953. U. of VT. at McNeil Island; Seattle Y.M.C.A. at Seattle Chess Club; West Seattle at Olympia; Kitsap County at Tacoma.

Round 4, December 20, 1953. Seattle Chess Club at McNeil Island; Olympia at U. of i.; Seattle YaM.C.A. Vs. Kitsap County at Rremerton; "est Seattle at Tacoma.

Round 5, January 10, 1954. Olympia at McNeil Island; Seattle Chess Club vs. Kitsap County at Bremerton; U. of T. at Tacoma; Seattle Y. M. C.A. at West Seattle.

Round 6, January 31, 1954. Kitsap County at McNeil Island; Tacoma at Olympia; Hest Seattle at Seattle Chess Club; Seattle Y.M.C.A. at U. of

Round 7, February 21, 1954. Tacoma at McNeil Island; Kitsap County at West Seattle; Olympia vs. Seattle Y.M.C.A. at Seattle Chess Club; Seattle Chess Club at $U$. of $W_{\text {. }}$

Are due at this time for the 1953-1954 Puget Sound League Season. League fees are 3.00 per club and Tashington Chess Federation dues are 5.00. Send all fees to M. H. Raleigh, 范. C. F. Treasurer, Apartment 3, 4312 Woodland Park Ave., Seattle, Mashington.

## SCHMITT UBER ALLES

The '54 Washington State Open, played September 5, 6 and 7 at the Seattle Y. M. C. A., is now chess history were in, the result was a landslide victory for Jim Schmitt of Portland Jim topped a field of 24 with a perfect $7-0$ score in a 7 round Swiss. He finished two games in front of his nearest rivals, Don Turner, 0 . W. Manney, Duane Meador and Dan Wade who had 5-2 records. The Solkof tiebreaking system gave Turner 2nd, Manney 3rd, Meador 4th and Wade 5th.

Schmitt ( 2148 U.S.C.F. Expert)knocked off Nourse, bowled over Boll, beat Bader, plastered Patterson, mangled Manney, viotimised Vellias anđ turned back Turner in his victorious parade.

Don Turner, also of Portland, Oregon and the defending Washington Open Champion, lost only to Manney and Schmitt and won the others. He was a strong contender for first and if he had been able to win over Schmitt in the all-Portland finale he would have been the winner as he had more S-B pints.
0. W. Manney, the '52 Washington Open title holder and present Idaho Open Champion, won his first four to enter the 5 th round in a two-way tie with Schmitt for first. After losing the big crucial, he lost again to Meador of Portland and reduced his position to that of also-ran as the final round began. Mr. Manney is the highest rated Washington player, other than the Master, Zemgalis, and boasts a U.S. Chess Federation rating of 1988.

Duane Meador lost only to Russ Vellias of Seattle, but two draws pushed his score into the 5-2 group. His last-round draw with John DeWitt kept him from winning second.

Dan Wade, editor of this magazine and author of this literary outrage, proved he is swiftly becoming an expert at milking this Swiss System for all it is worth by stealing up the back way to take a high 5th place.
V. W. Bever, Bellevue C. C. Champion and Seattle Chess Club Sec retary, showed up well in the tourm ament with a $4 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ record. He won sixth place. Included among Bever's victims were the perenially strong Joachim and highly regarded Bader.

The tourney drew contestants from far and near. Ten players were Seattleites, five were from Portland, one from Tacoma, one each from Chchalis, DesMoines, Ft. Lewis and Clinton, Washington. One came from Forest Grove, Oregon, one from Alaska and Centralia and far-away Spokane each supplied an entry.

Seven of the players are members of the U. S. Chess Federation. Their names, ratings and final positions in this tournament are as follows: Schmitt (2148) lst, Manney (1988) 3rd, Russell Vellias(rated 1818) 7th, Charles Joachim(1964) 9th, John DeWitt (1731) llth, Dean Bollman (1601) l7th and F. H. Weaver (1808) 18th.

Mr. Traynor of Tacoma played and lost one game and disappeared. Boll and Fenton played two rounds and then failed to show up again. This spoiled the tourmament for a few players, they had traveled all of the way to Seattle to play chess and not to sit around receiving forfeit victories. H. Yocom left the tournament and returned home rather than rent a room for another night after sitting through two sessions waiting for non-cxistant opponents. These withdrawals affected the S-B totals of the others and were a headache for the Tourney Director. If a player wishes to quit he ought to notify the Director and this would enable the Director to make a fair drawing. In the tournament, the Director never knew when the absent players might reappear. The last round was too late for the absentees and there were no gifts.

Much credit must be given to 0. W. Manney for all of the work he did in getting the boards, sets, olocks and the numerous other items ready for the tournament. Elmars Zemgalis did a fine job of directing and I believe this was the first fairly business-like tournament in our State's chess history! Elmars was in the driver's seat all the way with special concessions being exceptional rather than the rule.

| PLAYER | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | SCORE | Bremer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Jim Schmitt, Portland | W-16 | W-22 | W-12 | W-8 | -3 | W-7 | N-2 | 7- | 251 |
| 2. Don Turner, Portland | W-6 | L-3 | N-10 | W-12 | W-11 | W-9 | L-1 | 5 | 32 |
| 3. O. W. Manney, Seattle | W-18 | W-2 | W-7 | W-15 | I-1 | L-4 | W-8 | 5 | $30 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4. Duane Meador, Portland | W-19 | L-7 | W-18 | W-5 | D-8 | W-3 | D-11 |  | $26 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 5. Dan Wade, Seattle | W-20 | L-12 | W-13 | L-4 | W-16 | V-15 | W-7 |  | 24 |
| 6. V. W. Bever, Seattle | L-2 | W-21 | W-9 | L-7 | D-17 | W-19 | W-12 | $4 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 23 |
| 7. Russell Vellias, Seattle | W-10 | N-4 | -3 | W-6 | W-1,5 | L-1 | L-5 | 4 - | 33 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 8. Mack Patterson, Portland | D-14 | W-23 | 2 | [L-1 | D-4 | W-13 | L-3 | 4 | 25 |
| 9. Charles Joachim, Seattle | W-17 | L-11 | -6 | W-22* | -10 | L-2 | W-15 | 4 | 24 |
| 10 Dick Greenwood, Spokane | L-7 | W-17 | L-2 | W-18 | L-9 | W-20* | W-16 | 4 |  |
| 11 John DeWitt, Seattle | W-23 | W-9 | L-15 | W-19 | L-2 | D-12 | D-4 | 4 | 22 |
| 12 Max Bader, Seattle | W-21 | W- | -1 | L-2 | W-19 | D-11 | $\underline{L}-6$ |  | 29 |
| 13 Deane Moore, Portland | L-22 | W-20 | L-5 | D-17 | W-14 | L-8 | W-21 | 3 | 20 |
| 14 Ted Davidsen, Seattle | D-8 | L-15 | L-19 | Wi-21 | L-13 | W-24* | 18 | 3 | 16 $\frac{1}{}$ |
| 15 Dave ReVeal, Ft. Lewis | W-24 | W-14 | W-11 | L-3 | 区-7 | L-5 |  | 3 |  |
| 26 Jack Nourse, Clinton | L-1 | L-18 | W-23* | W-20 | L-5 | W-17 | $4-10$ | 3 - |  |
| 17 Dean Bollman, Alaska | L-9 | L-10 | N-21 | D-13 | P-6 | L-16 | W-19 | 3 | 22 |
| 18 F. H. Weaver, Seattle | L-3 | W-16 | L-4 | L-10 | W-22 | *-21 | $\underline{L}=14$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}=4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 23 |
| $19 \mathrm{H}$. H. Roberts, Seattle | L-4 | W-24 | -14 | L-11 | L-12 |  | L-17 | $2-5$ | 23 |
| $20 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{E}$. Yocom, Centralia | L-5 | L-13 | N-24* | [L-16 | W-23 | -10* | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ | 2 |  |
| 21 M. Skarsten, Forest Grove | L-12 | L-6 | $\mathbb{L}-17$ | L-14 | -2 | D-1 |  | 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 5 | 20 |
| 22 Lee Boll, Chehalis | iv-13 | L-1 | -8* |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}^{*}$ | 1 | 21 |
| 23 O. J. Traynor, Tacoma | L-11 | L-8* | -16 |  |  | -22 | F* | 0 | 14 |
| 24 Lester Fenton, DesMoines | L-15 | L-19 | L-20* |  |  | * $\mathrm{L}-14^{*}$ | * $\mathrm{F}^{*}$ | 0 - | 12 |

Schmitt is moving to San Francisco, California in October. Don Turner says he will be in the army soon. The absense of these two will put quite a dent into the Port land Chess Club's strength. Jim says he will make some of our WCF tournaments from time to time, however. Turner says he may be located at Fort Lewis so don't count him out of the local picture either.

THO QUALIFIED FOR THE STATE CLOSED?
The answer to the above query is the top seven Washington players although Manney, Wade and Joachim were already qualified as they won tournaments in the past to become Washington State Esperts. Others who qualified are Bever, Vellias, Greenwood and Dewitt. Two men who did not pley in the Open, but are elgible for the Closed, are the defending State Champion, Zemgalis
and State Expert, J. I. Sheets.
Charles Ballontine, 1951 State Champion, left for Stanford $U$. on Scptember 22nd. Our once formidable Expert list has now dwindled to a mere handfull.

1. Zemgalis, 2. Sheets, 3. O.W. Manney, 4. Wade, 5. Bever, 6. Vellias, 7. Joachim, 8. Greenwood and 9. DeWitt seems to be the line-up for the State Tournament. The Chempion of Tacoma, Vernon Holmes, is invited to show his ability.

An invitation may be tendered to Ted ilamer because of his powerful showing at Milwaukee. It would be interesting to see how he would make out.

The dates for the Glosed and also the Woodpushers will be given out by the Tournament Director in the near future.

Perhaps it would be a good bet starting both on the same day.

First prize was $\$ 34$, second $\$ 14$, third $\$ 6$ and fourth prize $\$ 3$. Poor fifth place won and lost as many games as second but reoeived no prize! Speaking of prizes, the prize for the most brilliant game was awarded by judge Elmars Zemgalis to 0. W. Manney for his olever last round win over newcomer Mack Patterson of Portland. The game, annotated by Zemgalis, follows with a preface by Zemgalis:

Opinions about chess brilliancies might be different. Some might enjoy combinations a la Morphy, some endgames a la Capablanca. Useless to argue (de gustibus non disputandum est - said the ancient Romans) here is my conception upon which this game was selected.

A brilliant game has to be a perfect game. Sacrificial combinations are desirable, not necessary. It has to be outstanding both in strategical planning and tactical execution. All of this must be achieved with a minimum of support on the part of the opponent. Spectacular moves are not a prerequisite.

Scrutinizing the Washington Open Championship games I found the following one fitting the best in these standards. Having seized the initiative in the opening, Mr. Monney starts an attack, oarrys out an elementary knight sacrifice and finishes off the game very efficiently.

There is not much to criticize about white's play, since aftor the initial lapse, he has only a choice between bad and worse. E. Zemgalis

| M. Patterson | O.W. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nimzo-Indian Defense. |  |
| 1. P-Q4 | N-KB3 |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| 3. N-QB3 | B-N5 |
| 4. P-K3 | 0-0 |
| 5. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | P-Q4 |
| 6. PxP |  |

fensive task This move however can hardly qualify as a mistake since there is no proof that after the conventional 5. N-B3, P-B4; 6. $0-0, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B3}$; 7. P-QR3 etc. white could obtain any advantage. Thus new ideas have to be tried and 6. PxP is one of them.
${ }^{6} \cdot \mathrm{~N} \cdot \dot{\mathrm{~N}}-\mathrm{K} \dot{\mathrm{L}}$
PxP

Slightly anti-positional with a tactioal trap in mind (see black's 9th move). The position oalls for a set-up with P-B3, R-K1, N-Q2-B1, B-Q3 with an eventual $B-B 2$ and $Q-Q 3$ in the offing. With proper play white would still have equality.

> 8. O-0 8: Q-B2
9. N-N3 was objectively better (9...B-Q3; 10 N-B5). White obviously overlooks the answer.

$$
9 . \cdots \quad B-Q 3!
$$

$10 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3$
A hard but understandable decision as black apparently threatens the typical combination 10...BxPch.

Does he really? E.g. 10 P-QRS, BxPch; $11 \mathrm{KxB}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$; $12 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{NB}, \mathrm{Q}-$ N4; 13 P-B4, Q-R4; 14 B-Q2,Q-R7ch 15 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B3}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5!$; 16 P-KN3, Q-R7; 17 NxP , P-KR4!; 18 P-K4, P-R5; 19 B-K3 and though the situation is loaded with dynamite, it is difficult to reinforce black's attack. Generally I feel that white's defense is suffioient. While this is not the final word, the entire variation is worth some further investigation. For all practioal purposes, white would have been better off by playing P-QR3.

## 10 <br> 11 Q-Q2

N-QN5
As a matter of principle, Q-Q1 looks better even when arriving at the same position as in the text.
 12 QXN $P-B 3$
Immediate 12...N-N5 was sharper 13 P-QN3?
Now 13 P-KR3 offered chances for a tougher resistance although black would still have a clear advantage. The text move represents loss of time in a critical position. In this sense even the harmless 13 B-Q2 was preferable.

13
N-N5:?
Obvious looking but actually very complicated.

14 P-K4!
Insufficient was 14 P-IRR3, NxP;
$15 \mathrm{KxN}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$; $16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$; $17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B3}$ etc. The same thing is $14 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Q-R5; $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR3}$, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{KB} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$. (not $15 \ldots \mathrm{NXP}$; 16 QxN, BxN; 17 Q-B7 with checkmate). 16 PXN, P-B5 and white has to permit the devastating PxN since on $17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Rl}$, follows $P-B 6$ with an imediate win.

## 14

15 P-KR3
Ingenious:
16 KxN
Q-R5

17 Q-K3!
If $17 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{KB} 4$; $18 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{PxN}$; 19 QxB, Q-Q5ch; $20 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch} ; 21$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{BAch}$ and mates or wins the queen.


19 QxP?
This invites the end. Instead 19 B-Q2 (the resentful bishop could be here already-see note to white's 13th move) would lead to a very complicated game, black's possible answer being P-KB4, B-Q2, P-GN3 or P-QB4! Although black scems to have the better chances, I have not been able so far to find a convincing demonstration of a forced win. $19 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ does int work
because of $B x N ; 20$ QXN, R-B3 etc.
19 BxNch
20 NxB
QxNch
21 K-N1
Bxp
$22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$
R-K3
23 B-B4
In desperation. 23 Q-B3 loses a rook after R-K8oh; $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Bl}, \mathrm{QXQ}$; 25 PXQ, RxRch.

| 23 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{R}$ | QxRoh |
| RXQ |  |

$25 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$
Resignation was indicated here. The rest is quated only for the record.

| $25 \ddot{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B3}$ | $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KRH}$ |  |

Wite resigns.
An interesting and unusual garne. What appeared to be a clear-out win turned out after analysis to be a wilderness of complications! Another example of how difficult it is to play a perfect game. Mr. Manney has tricd it ingeniously and must be given credit for that.
U.S. JR.

Saul N. Yarmak, 19 of Passaic, Now Jersy won the National Junior Chess Championship in the week-long tournament held at Kansas City. Yarmak won 8, lost 1 and drew 1 in the 10 round, 24 player Swiss. He drew runner-up Martin Harrow, 19 of Jamaica, Queens and lost to third place John Penquite of Des Moines. Both Harrow and Penquite won 6 , lost 2 and drew 2 but Harrow took second under the Solkoff point system. Karl Burger of Brooklyn took fourth place (Note-Burger later finished llth in the U. S. Open which should give you an idea of the strength of this junior tournament's top players!), Charles E. Henin, 17 of Springfield, Mass, took fifth place, Allen Kaufmon, 20 of New York City took sixth, Edmond Godbold, 20 of St. Louis was seventh, Larry Remlinger, 11 of Long Beach, California was eighth, Leo Ratermanis, 18 of Iowa City took ninth and Leonard Frankenstein, 16 of Kansas City took tenth place。

Remlinger, youngest of the 24 entries, won the Dittran Trophy to boys 15 years old and under. Charles W. Graham, Konsas City Tournament Director, said"Remlinger's performance was the outstanding feature of the tournament. The boy has been playing chess only 14 months and the way he came through this week of chess conpetition was marvelous. I think he will sweep the chess world in the future." Young Renlinger finished with 5 won, 4 lost and 1 drawn. He lost to the top players Yarmak, Harrow and Penquite and drew fourth-place Burger.

Ratcrmanis won the prizc iar the bost gane in the tournamont. Our Washington State representative was Jim MicCorrick, 17 of Renton and a nember of the Seattle Chess Club who finished l4th. McCormick is only a beginner but lately has inproved very zuch. He finished with a won 4 , lost 4 and drawn 2 record.

Mr. L. M. Greene, Washington Chess Federation's top postal player fron Long Beach, California, sent the following article on Raminger to the editor about a month before the U. S. Junior Toumament.

## A Boy Who Plays Chess

Larry Realinger, 1134 Virginia Court, Long Beach, California, was born in Pasadena, California September 6th, 1941. He is just a norral boy in every way. He is a fine looking, brown-eyed boy with a nioe personality and a pleasure to meet. He gets A's in his work at school where he has a host of friends. He has also made riany friends at the Lincoln Park Chess and Checker Club.

A year ago last February, his uncle taught him the moves in chess and he started dropping in at the chess club. He improved very rapidly and soon had the boys scratching their heads. I have never seen Larry playing where he was forced to study the game all of the time. He makes a move and then spends kis time looking at other games while his opponent is studying his move. He glances at his game occasionally and knows at once if you have moved. He is at his best at rapidfire chess. I played him seven games, losing three and drawing four, before I won a game. (Editor's note: $\operatorname{lir}$. Greene is a very strong player in my opinion based on two postal games we are now playing) He will get a little careless once in awlile. As long ago as last winter he was playing like a master. When Imre Konig, the great Czechoslovakian master, came to Long Beach last winter, playing 24 club members, losing 2 games, one of them to Larry, he said, "Larry would surely make a master."

Larry tried his hand at simultaneous play a few months ago. He went to Lomito, a town west of here, and played 9 of their best men, some of them old tournament winners. He says he was a little nervous at first but soon settled down. He settled down enough to beat them all in a little over two hours.

Recently, Larry did very well in the California State Tournament. Herman Steiner, former U. S. champion at whose club the tournament was played, pointed out that Larry is the youngest player ever to vie for the state crown.

When you first play Larry, a little boy sitting across the board, you are inclined to relax a little. You soon find you have to play Larry like he had a beard a foot long. If any of you boys come to California, drop in at Lincoln Park. Larry and the boys will be glad to meet you.

L. M. Greene

[^0]2. $n-k b 3$ n-qb3
3. b-b4 n-b3
4. n-n5 b-b4

Three white moves now come into consideration: nxbp, bxpch., and $p-q 4$. Reubin Fine in P.C.O. says $p-q 4$ is best.

$$
5: p-q 4 \quad p-q 4
$$

Also best.
If
black plays 5 ....bxp, Fine gives 6. p-qb3, b-n3; 7. nxbp, bxp ch; 8. k-bl, q-k2; 9. nxr, b-n3; $10 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{b} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b}$; 11 q-b3 with advantage for white.

## 6. bxp qnxp

An untried move. I think it is better than 6...nxb; 7. pxb!, n(4)-n5; 8. p-qr3, qxq ch.; 9. kxq, n-r3 10 p-qn4 with a distinct advantage for white.
7. $n \times b p \quad \frac{q-k 2}{}$ 8. $n \times r \quad b-k n 5$
9. p-kb3

If white moves h1s queen, black plays 9...nxb; and if 10 pxn b-b4. White might also try 9. b-b7 ch, $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b}$ 1; $10 \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{q3}$, ( $10 \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{q} 2$, nxkp)b-k7; 11 q-kr3 b-kn5; (Now 12 q-q3, b -k7; 13 q-kr3, b-kn5; is drawn by repetition) $12 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n} 6 \mathrm{ch}, . \mathrm{pxn}$; $13 \mathrm{q}-$ r8ch,. kxb; 14 qxr, nx bpch. or 9. b-b7 ch., k-bl; 10 p-kb3, nxkp; and black threatens qr5ch. For instance 11 pxb, q-r5ch.; 12 p-kn3 nxnp.
9. .... nxb
seem obvious for white I pxb, II pxn, III p-b 3. If white plays 10 pxb, black can initlate an immediate attack with q-r5 ch., or perhaps better.
I $10 \mathrm{pxb} \quad \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n} 5$
11 n-r3 0-0-0
$12 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{r} 5 \mathrm{ch}$.
$13 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{kn} 3$ q-r6!
14 bxn bxb ch .
$15 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{b} 3 \quad \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{n} 7$
Black threatens $q$
$\mathrm{xr}, \mathrm{qxkp}, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b} 6 \mathrm{ch}$. Poss ible line $16 \mathrm{kr}-\mathrm{bl}$, qx kp ch ; 17 k -b2, r-blch $18 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{nl}, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 19 \mathrm{q}$ $\mathrm{xn}, \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{b} 4 \mathrm{ch}$.
II After 1. p-k4, pk4; 2. n-kb3, n-qb3; 3 b-b4, n-b3; 4. n-n5, b-b4; 5. p-q4, p-q4; 6 bxp, qnxp; ? nxbp, q-k 2; 8. nxr, b-kn5; 9. p -kb 3 , nxb .

| 10 pxn | $b-b 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 11 | $p-b 3$ |
| 12 | n-b -k 2 | III After 1. p-k4, pk4; 2. n-kb3, n-qb3; 3 b-b4, n-b3; 4. n-n5, b -b4; 5. p-q4, p-q4; 6. bxp, qnxp; 7. nxbp, qk2; 8. nxr, b-kn5; 9. p-kb3, nxb.

10 p-qb3 nxkbpch.
11 pxn q-r5ch.
$12 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{b} 7 \mathrm{ch}$.
13 q-k2
13 k-q3, n-b5ch, ; 14 bxn, r-alch.; $15 \mathrm{k}-$ b4, b-k3ch.; $16 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n} 5$, qxnpch.; $17 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r} 4$ (17 k $\mathrm{xb}, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{n} 3$ mate, or 17 k -r5, q-n3ch.; 18 k-r4, q-r3 mate, or 17 q-n3, bxq) p-n4ch.; $18 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r} 5$
mate. If $13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q} 3, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}$ 5ch.; $14 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b} 4$, b-k3ch; $15 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n} 5$, p-r3ch.; 16 k -r5 (16 k-r4, p-n4ch.; $17 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r} 5, \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{n} 3 \mathrm{ch} . ; 18 \mathrm{k}$ -n4, q-b4 mate.) p-n3 ch.; 17 k-r4, p-n4 ch; $18 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r} 5, \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{n} 3 \mathrm{ch}$; 19 k -n4, q-b4 mate.
$13 \ldots$ b-k6 ch.
$14 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ql}$ bxp
or $14 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q} 3$, $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b} 5$ ch. At move 8 white may try to drive away black's advanced $n$ before capturing the $r$ :

1. $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{k} 4 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{k} 4$
2. $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{kb} 3 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{qb} 3$
3. $b-b 4 \quad n-b 3$
4. n-n5 b-b4
5. $p-q^{4} \quad p-q^{4}$
6. bxp qnxp
7. $n \times b p$ q-k2
8. p-ab3 $n \times b$
9. nxr
10. kpxn, qxn; 10 pxn, bxp; or 9. bpxn, b-qn5 ch; $10 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{q} 2, \mathrm{bxb}$ ch.; 11 nxb, qxn; 12 p xn, 0-0; 13 q-b3, pxp. 9. .... b-kn5!

Now white has 4 plausible moves: I qxb II $q-r 4 c h$, III $q-q 2 \&$ IV q-a3. 10 p-kb3, nak bpch. is position prev iously given.
I $10 \mathrm{qxb} \quad \mathrm{n}$-bpch. 11 k-ql $n$-kb3!
$12 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{n} 5$ nxr
II 10 q-r4ch.p-qn4
11 a-r6 b-bl
$12 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n} 6$ q-q1!
Not $12 \ldots$ bxq; 13
nxq, n-b7ch; $14 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ql}$, nxr; 15 nxn.

13 q-r5 b-n3

Whit e's queen is trapped: 14 q-r3, n-b7 check.
III After 1. p-k4, pk4; 2. $n-k b 3$, $n-q b 3 ; 3$ b-b4, n-b3; 4. n-n5, b -b4; 5. p-q4, p-q4; 6. bxp, qnxp; 7. nxbp, qk2; 8. p-qb3, nxb; 9 n xr, b-kn5!

10 q-q2 $n-n 6$
11 rpxn
11 qxn, nxr will leave black with the better of it: black threatens $r$-ql and also n-b7ch. If now 12 b-n5, n-b7ch.; $13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q} 2$ (13 k-bl, axb; threatens mate 14 q-b7ch,. $k$ -ql; 15 q-q5ch., k-bl; white has nothing) axb ch.; $14 \mathrm{kxn}, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{k} 2$; the white knight is still caught. If 15 n 0 b ?, $\mathrm{b}-$ k3; 16 qxkp, bxn.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Il } \ldots \cdots & \text { n-b5 } \\
\text { Black } & \text { threatens }
\end{array}
$$

both nxnpch. and r-ql. 12 p-b3
If $120-0$, it is hard to see how white can escape from l2.... b-b6! For instance 13 r-ql, b-q3! blocks the queen file so that the black queen $c$ an move $14 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n} 3, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{n} 4$; $15 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b}$, q-n5; $16 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{kl}$ (otherwise the rook is lost) n-n7ch.; $17 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{bl}, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{r} 6$ wins.

| $12 \ldots$ | bxp |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13 pxb | $q-r 5 c h$. |
| $14 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{bl}$ | $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{ql}$ |
| $15 \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{kl}$ | $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{q} 8 \mathrm{win}$ | IV After l. p-k4, p-k 4; 2. n-kb3, n-qb3; 3 b-b4, n-b3; 4. n-n5, b $-b 4,5 . p-q 4, p-q 4 ; 6$. bxp, qnxp; 7. nxbp, qk2; 8. p-qb3, nxb; 9. nxr, b-kn5!

10 q-q3 $b-k$ ?
11 q-n3
11 q-q2, $n-b 6 c h . ;$ 12 pxn, n-b5 leaves white subject to black threats $r-q l$ and $q-r 5$.
n-b7ch.
$12 \mathrm{kxb} \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b} 3$
$13 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{n} 5$ nxr
This may be the best line for white. He has a pawn and the chances look complicated for both sides.

Now let's look at the most obvious and natural of white's possibilities on move five, that is nxbp:

1. $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{k} 4 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{k} 4$
2. $n-k b 3$ n-qb3
3. $b-b 4 \quad n-b 3$
4. nxbp bxpch.
5. kxb nxpch.

White can I advance the king 7. k-k3 or retreat II 7. k-nl. I 7. $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k} 3$ q-k2
8. nyr
8. q-r5, q-b4ch.; 9. kxn, qxbch. ; $10 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}$ 3, qxn leaves black a pawn ahead. If 8. kxn p-q4ch.; 9. bxp, q-r5 ch. ; $10 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{kn} 4$ (10 k-k3, q-q5ch.; $11 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k} 2$, qxb and white cannot play 12 nxr because of $12 .$. b-n5ch.)bxp; 11 bxnch, pxb; $12 \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{kl}, \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{b} 4 \mathrm{dbl}$. ch.; $13 \mathrm{kxp}, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{b} 3 \mathrm{ch}$, $14 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b} 4$ dis.ch., kxn \& white is in trouble: black's rooks become active. Here 15 q-k5? ? p-n4ch. and white will lose.

| 8. .... | $q-b 4 c h$. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 9. kxn | $p-q 4 c h$. |
| $10 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b} 3$ |  |
| 10 bxp, | $q-q 5 c h e c k$ | $11 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b} 3, \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{n} 5$; $12 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n} 3$ q-kb5ch。; $13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r} 4, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{k}$ n4 mate.


| $10 . \ldots$ | $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{blch}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $11 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k} 2$ |  |
| $11 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k} 3$, | $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{b} 5 \mathrm{ch}$. | etc. $11 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n} 3, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{kb} 5 \mathrm{ch}$. mate.


| 11 | $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{n} 5 \mathrm{ch}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $12 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{kl}$ | bxa |
| 13 bxp | $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{r}^{4}$ |
| 1.4 n-b7 | bxn |
| 15 r -bl | n-ql |
| After 1 | p-k4, |

k4; 2. n-kb3, n-qb3; 3 b-b4, n-b3; 4. n-n5, b -b4; 5. nxbp, bxpoh.; 6. kxb, nxpch.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 7. k-nl } & \text { q-r5 } \\
\text { 8. p-kn3 } & \\
\text { 8. q-bl, r-bl; } 9 .
\end{array}
$$ p-q3 (9. p-kn3, nxnp; 10 pxn , qxpch.; $11 \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{n}$ 2, q-k8ch. draws by repetition, or $12 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{b}$, rxn) 9....n-a3!; 10 nx nch., pxn; ll q-k2, na5; 12 q-a2, nxp - the threat is $13 . . \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{q} 5 \mathrm{ch}$.

8. .... nxnp
9. pxn

Other moves: 9. qkl, qxb. 9. nxr, $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{q} 5$ gives (A) 10 pxn, axp ch.; $11 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{bl}, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{b} 5 \mathrm{ch}$. ; $12 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n} 2$, $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{n} 4 \mathrm{ch}$. drawn by perpetual ch. and (B) $10 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{b} 3, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k} 5$ ! ; 11 q-bl, q-n5ch.; 12 q-n2 q-q8ch.; 13 q-bl (13bbl, n-b6ch.) q-n4ch.. Drawn by perpetual ch. (C) $10 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b} 3, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{a} 4$; 11 bxp, b-n5; 12 q-kl, n (n6)-k7ch.; $13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{bl}$, b -r6ch.; $14 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{n} 2$, qxqch. $15 \mathrm{kxq}, \mathrm{bxb} ; 16 \mathrm{nxn}, \mathrm{b}$ xr the white knight at r8 is still lost.
 bishops as against the black rook and 2 passed pawns. You tell me who is ahead!

At move 6. white may refuse to capture black's bishop:

| 1. p-k4 | p-k4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{kb} 3$ | n-qb3 |
| 3. b-b4 | n -b3 |
| 4. $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n} 5$ | b-b4 |
| 5. nxbp | bxpch. |
| 6. k-bl | q-k2 |
| 7. nxr | p-q4 |
| Three mo | es aga | for white: I $q-b 3$, II $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{k} 2$, and III pxp.

8. bxp? b-n5 wins white's queen.
I 8. q-b3 b-n3
9. bxp b-n5

10 b-b7ch. k-q2
11 q-q3ch. n-q5
12 n-b3
If $12 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{b} 3, \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{k} 7$
ch. wins white's queen 12 $\begin{array}{lll}12 & \cdots-\mathrm{bi} & \mathrm{rxn} \\ \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{kbl}\end{array}$

II After 1. p-k4, pk4; 2. n-kb3, n-qb3; 3 b-b4, n-b3; 4. n-n5, b -b4; 5. nxbp, bxpch.; 6. k-bl, q-k2; 7. nxr , p-q4.
8. b-k2 n-q5
9. b-r 5 ch .

If $9 . \mathrm{kxb}$, nxkpch $10 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{bl}$ ( $10 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{nl}, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{b4}$; $11 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{bl}, \mathrm{nxbp}$ ) q-r5;11 q-kl, qxqch.; 12 kxq , nxbpch.

| 9 | $\ldots .$. | $p-\mathrm{kn} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | nxp | pxn |
| 11 | bxpch | $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ql}$ |
| 12 kxb | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n} 5 \mathrm{ch}$. |  |
| $13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{kl}$ |  |  |

If $13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{bl}, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{b} 3$ ch.; or $13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{nl}, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{b4}$; or $13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n} 3, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{n} 4$; 14 q -kl, (14 p-q3, n-k6dis ch.; $15 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b} 2$, nxqch.) q-b5ch.; 15 k-r4, n-b3 mate.

| $13 \ldots$ | q-r5ch. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 \underset{\operatorname{pn} 3}{ }$ | q-r6 |
| $15 \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{bl}$ | qxrp |

III After 1. p-k4, pk4; 2. $n-k b 3, n-q b 3 ; 3$ b-b4, n-b3; 4. n-n5, b $-b 4 ; 5$. nxbp, bxpch.; 6. k-bl, q-k2; 7. nxr, $p-q 4$.

$$
\text { 8. } \operatorname{pxp}_{\text {9. } p-b 3} n-a 5
$$

Two other moves:
(A) 9. p-q3, b-n5; 10 q-a2, b-k7ch.; 11 kxb , n-n5ch.; $12 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{kl}(12 \mathrm{k}-$ nl, q-b4 or $12 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n} 3$, n -b4ch.; $13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r} 3$, n-b7 mate) q-r5ch.; $13 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{kn}$ 3, q-r6.
(B) 9. $\mathrm{kxb}, \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{n} 5$; 10 q -bl (10 q-kl, nxbp; 11 q-nl or bl, n-k5ch.) nk5ch.; $11 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k} 3$, q-n4ch $12 \mathrm{kxn}(12 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q} 3, \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{k} 7 \mathrm{ch})$ b-b4ch.; 13 kxp,0-0-0; $14 \mathrm{kxn}, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{b} 3 \mathrm{ch} . ; 15 \mathrm{k}-$ k3, r-klch.; 16 any $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{q} 6 \mathrm{ch} ; 17 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n} 3, \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{n} 4$ ch.; $18 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r} 3$, ( $18 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b} 3$, $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{bl}$ mate or $18 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b} 2$, $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b} 5 \mathrm{ch}.) \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{b} 4 \mathrm{ch} . ; 19$ qxbch., qxach.; $20 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ 3, $r-a 5!$ \& mate soon. 9. .... b-n5 10 g-r4ch. $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{q} 2$ 11 pxn q-b3 $12 \mathrm{pxp} \quad \mathrm{qxp}$ 13 kxb q-b5ch. $14 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{nl}$ If $14 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{kl}, 0-0-0$ 1s better for black.

$16 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b} 7 \quad \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{bl}$ 17 p-q6 rxnch. 18 bxr qxq
Black threatens $q$ -kb5ch. and also q-q8 check.
$19 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{n} 3 \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{kb} 5 \mathrm{ch}$. $20 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{kl}$ q-k5ch.
$21 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{kb} 5 \mathrm{ch}$.
Drawn by perpetual check.

The last possibility for white on move five is bxpch: l. p-k4, p-k4; 2. $n-k b 3$, $n-q b 3$ 3. $b-b 4, n-b 3 ; 4$. $n-n 5$, $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{b} 4$
5. bxpch. k-k2
6. b-n3

If white does not retreat his bishop, black wins a piece by playing 6. .... p-kr3.

| 6. | $\cdots \cdots$ | $r-b l$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7. | $0-0$ | $p-k r 3$ |
| 8. | $n-k b 3$ | $p-q 3$ |
| 9. | $p-k r 3$ | $q-k I$ |

$10 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{q} 3$
With 10 p-b3 we might have 10...q-n3; 11 p-q4, pxp; 12 pxp, nxqp; $13 \mathrm{nxn}, \mathrm{bxn} ; 14$ qxb, bxrp; 15 p-kn3, bxr; 16 kxb , nxp.

| 10 | $\ldots$ | $a-n 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | $n-r$ | q-r2 |
| 12 | $n-b t c h . b x n$ |  |
| 13 | pxb | axp |
| Looks even. |  |  |




SGIENCE EDITOR
F. H. WEAVER

Those who heve not followed ny scientific study of uncomon anrine form will be anazed to learn of a srall epecie of rock fish, the rinnisaur, which is shaped less like a dinosaur or even $\varepsilon$ sea horse than like a chess knight of standard Staunton design, complete to pedestal base (caudal peduncle) instead of a tail, which makes one wonder how it can swin.

My chess friend and I were trolling in Swinoaish Slough near the channel buoy and ve were playing chese for the chaspionship of Eird Rock, when suddenly I checlenated hiri; becarie charapeen --won the Ton Collins first prize. As usual he began throwing the chess set around in an undignified manner which I deplore but put up with because I can beat hirl. fifter he hed tossed off second prize, which was also a. Ton Collins, we found a knight wos aissing froc the red pieces and peering into the challow watcr we thought we sexv it on the sandy botton.

Now I pride nyself on being able to catch anything that swins, but this was a res.l probler:, try-

THYNGADIDAE FUSCUS
(MINNISAUR) ing to catch a knight with a weighted bese thet coulc cause it to sink into the sand and out of sight. The stratege:. I used wos not only astute but won the friendehip of the minniscur (as it turned out to be). I threw c. rolling hitch with a 9-thresd line around my tall glase, which I hedn't had time to empty dragged it clong the botton and scooped in the false red lenight. I noticed a slightly mottled color but believed it to te due to imersing the piece in Tou Collins, which contains leaon juice.

We didnt realize it wes a minnisaur ot this tine and proceeded with the next gane in which I had the red pieces. Well, Sir, I had no nore than developed ny queen knight, on ite second nove, to quecn-rook-four then this cunning little beast knew its manner of noving, and while $I$ was below preparing sone brilliancy prizes, it knocked off the white queen-rook and being of a cherneleonlike nature took on a white appearance and lurked there in the corner until ny return, so that when I played KBxQNP the proximity of another red piece changed it back to red and with the two of thea behind the eneny lines $I$ tell you we all made short work of it.

When ry friend protested that his $Q P, K B P, K B$, and holf his king were nissing we noticed my QN was greatly swoilen and aisshapen, so I shook out the loot and gove the minniscur the brilliancy prize instead. He hopped around in a very happy ranner, two-hops-one-way-and-one-hop-to-the-side, and was soon overbosrd while we gaped at hir. If you heppen to notice a peculiar eddy in Dugually Bay lately it is caused by millions of young rinnisauria roving like a chess knight.

## NOURSE ${ }^{\circ}$ AD NAUSEAM ${ }^{\circ}$

Before we leave the cheering subject of killings over a chess game, or "How to act your aga," it should be interesting to consider the morality of the medieval Dane as related in a book published in Stockholm in the Icelandic language.

This saga contains a slightly different account of the evente preceding the murder of Earl Ulf by King Canute than is to bo found in accepted history.

The accepted version of the foul deed is that Canute, before embarking for England, appointed Ulf, his brother-in-law, as guardian of his son Hardicanute. In the absence of Canute, Ulf put up Hardicanute for King; whereupon Canute returned, suppresesd the revolution and executed Ulf. This account is probably true, but the variation published at Stockholm seems se much more piquant.

The Ioelandic version runs as follows ;- "As King Canute and Earl Ulf were playing at chess the king made a false move, in comequence of which the Earl took one of the King's knights; but the king would not allow thin, and replacing the piece insisted that he play differently. The Earl waxed angry, overturnod the ehess board and waa going away when the king called after him, saying; "Ulf, thou coward, dos't thou flee." The Earl returned to the door and said; "You would have taken a longer flight in the River Helga had I not come to your assistanoe when the Swedes beat you like a dag. Then you did not call me, Ulf, the coward." The King ordered his henchmen to liquidate Ulf the very next morning.

Another engrossing feature of these ancient legends is the reason they were written in the first place, an winy they have survived for so many centuries.

The English historian, Turner, in his "History of the Anglomaxons" relates that in the reign of King Canute, (1026), a bishop, Utheric, having madea bargain with a drunken Dane, rode in the night to borron money from the King to seal his contract. He found the King alleviating the tedium of a long night by playing chess, which he would not desert to further the schemes of the greedt bishop. The story doubtles: comes down to us as an illustration of the evil of the "idel ganle". Today the story would endure an an illustration of an imperfect prelate.

These were the days when the intelligent underling did not attempt to beat his overlord. The record of Kings and Emperors, includung Napoleon, shor that their opponents either had tact and lost grecefully, or speedily fell from the favor of the monarch. Dictators, also fall into the same pattern. From Moscon, we hear that the Ruseians are conducting a campaign to eliminate from chess as well as their en onory, all capitalistic titles; substituted will be socialistic titles. Pamm becomes HORKER, and queen - Stakhanovite. This nems has inepired the Chess Letter poet to empose the following verse- or morse.

CDE TO EQUALITY.
Off with the heads of the Rayal shirkers,
Now enthrome the lowly morkers.
Dom with Knights and suchlike vassals,
Down with Bishops and down with Castles.
Let our chose from life be dram
In the orbit of the parin.
And let chessplayers ever dread
To move to any square not FED .

## WITH TED WARNER AT MILWAUKEE

UNITED STATES OPEN, MILWAUKEE 1953 Round 1
C.A.Lyons (rated 1672) Ted Werner White

| 1 | P-K4 | P-QB4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | N-KB3 | N-QB3 |
| 3 | P-Q4 | PxP |
| 4 | NxP | N-KB3 |
| 5 | NxN | NPxN |
| 6 | N-Q2 (rote $:$ ) | P-Q4 |
| 7 | PxP (b) | PxP |
| 8 | B-K2 | P-K4 |
| 9 | 0-0 | B-0 3 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 0-0 |
| 11 | QB-N5 | B-N2 |
| 12 | P-B3 | P-KR3 |
| 13 | B-R4 | Q-Q2 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ | N-K5 |
| 15 | N-Q2 | P-B4 |
| 16 | P-B3? (c) | N-B3 |
| 17 | Q-B2 | N-R4 |
| 18 | QR-KI | N-B5 (d) |
| 19 | B-Q1? | B-R3 |
| 20 | P-B4 | P-Q |
| 21 | P-QN3 (e) | B-B4 |
| 22 | K-R2 | Q-Q3 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | P-N4 |
| 24 | B-B2 | P-K5 (f) |
| 25 | NxP | PxN |
| 26 | QxP | QR-KI (g) |
| 27 | QxR | ExQ |
| 28 | RxRch | K-B2 |
| 29 | R/I-K1 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| 30 | P-N3 | KxR |
| 31 | PxNCh | K-B2 |
| 32 | R-Q2 | P-Q6 |
| 33 | BxB | QxB |
| 34 | P-B4 | PxP |
| 35 | Pxp | Q-K6 |
| 36 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-B3 |
| 37 | R-KN2 | QxBPch |
| 38 | R-N3 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| 39 | Resigns |  |

(a) White wiches to play P-QB4
(b) if 7. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 ; 8 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$;
9. P-KB4 is much stronger.
(c) NXN is riuch stronger.
(d) At lost. An idcal square.
(e) $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 3$ w:e much better.
(f) This wins materisl no natter what White plays.
(g) The rest is hopeless for white.

Round 2
Ted Warner White

Ruy Lopen

| 1 | P-K4 (a) | P-K4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | N-KB3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB3}$ |
| 3 | B-N5 | P-qR3 |
| 4 | BxN | NPxB |
| 5 | P-Q4 | PxP |
| 6 | QxP | Q-B3 |
| 7 | Q-B4 (b) | P-KR3 |
| 8 | 0-0 | R-N1 |
| 9 | P-B3 | R-N4 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4$ (c) | B-Q3 (d) |
| 11 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | R-KR4 (e) |
| 12 | QN-Q2 | Q-N3 |
| 13 | P-K5! | BxP |
| 14 | NxB | PxN |
| 15 | B-B4 | P-Q4 |
| 16 | Q-B5 | R-K2 |
| 17 | KR-K1 | K-Q1 |
| 18 | RxR | NxR |
| 19 | BxPch | KxB |
| 20 | QxNch | B-Q2 |
| 21 | P-QR4 | R-K1 |
| 22 | Q-B5 | K-N2 |
| 23 | N-N3: | B-R6 |
| 24 | P-N3 | Q-K5? (f) |
| 25 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-B2 |
| 26 | QxPch | K-Q1 |
| 27 | Q-Q6ch (g) | K-Bl |
| 28 | QxPch | K-Q2 |
| 29 | Q-R7ch | K-K3 |
| 30 | Q-K3 | QxQ |
| 31 | PxQ | K-Q3 |
| 32 | K-B2 | R-QBI |
| 33 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-B3 |
| 34 | N-B5 | K-N3 |
| 35 | K-B3 | P-N4? |
| 36 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | P-R4 |
| 37 | PxP | P-N5ch |
| 38 | K-B4 | R-KRI |
| 39 | K-N5 | R-Nlch |
| 40 | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B6}$ | R-KR1 |
| 42 | P-R6 | P-85 |
| 43 | BPxP | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 7$ |
| 44 | P-R7 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Rl}$ |
| 45 | K-N5 | B-B6? |
| 46 | P-K4 | Resigne |

(a) I grabbed the wrong pawn!
(b) Not good ae P-QR4 could be very uncorifortable later.

Nth hour bulletin! Russell Vellias has taken over the task of Tourney Dircetor. We hope to have a complete tourne_ient schedule next month. hore of Varner's U.S. Open and more Nash. Ofan games in jur next W.C.L.

Round 2 notes continued:
(c) To prevent occupstion of QB4 by pown, rook or bishop.
(d) Block is still ecual in antericl but is lost positionslly.
(e) Throntening BxPch, NxB, Q-R5--
(f) Although this threatens acte 2 ways it sctuclly illows mite forced mete.
(g) White winecs a mate in two:

Round 3, U.S.Open
J.H.Carroll (1875)

## White

## Ted Varner

Sicilizn-VIing Ganabit

| 1 | P-K4 | P-QB4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-2N4 | PxP |
| 3 | P-QR3 | P-Q4 |
| 4 | KPxP | QxP |
| 5 | N-KB3 | N-6B3 |
| 6 | PxP? | B-N5 |
| 7 | B-K2 | BxN |
| 8 | BxB?? | Q-K4ch |
| 9 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | QxR |
| 10 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | Q-B3 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | R-B1 |
| 12 | P-QB3 | P-K3 |
| 13 | B-N2 | N-R3 |
| 14 | P-q4 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| 15 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | NxNP |
| 16 | PxN | BxPch |
| 17 | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Bl}$ | O-O |
| 18 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B}_{4}$ | N-B4 |
| 19 | P-25 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ ! |
| 20 | NxN | QxB |
| 21 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B4}$ | Q-R7 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ |
| 23 | PxP | PxB |
| 24 | PxPch | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Rl}$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Nl}$ | $\mathrm{R} / 7 \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 26 | Q-Q5 | RxPch |
| 27 | QxR | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| 28 | K-Bl | RxPch |

29 Resigne
White has a loet gaie after only 8 nover but the finish is so forcefull thet this game is worth printing in full.

Round 4, U.S.Open
Ted llerner White
V.Liepeskelns (1926)

Queen Garibit Decl.

|  | Queen Garibit |
| :--- | :--- |
| P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| $N-Q B 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{NS}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K3}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B3}$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B3}$ | $\mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |

$\begin{array}{ll}7 & R-B I \\ 8 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B2}\end{array}$
9 P-B5
$10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ (b)
11 ©B-B4
12 B-23
$13 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ :
14 QR-KNI
$15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$
16 B-R2
17 P-KN3
$18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 4$
$19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB}^{4}$ :
20 PxN
21 BxP: (d)
22 BxP!
23 PxP
24 P-KB4
25 BxN
26 BxR
27 RxBch
$28 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$

P-AR3
0-0? (a)
P-R3
N-R2
P-B4
$\mathrm{KN}-\mathrm{B} 3$
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KS}$
QN-B3
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R} 4$
BxRP? (c)
B-K2
N/4-B3
NxNch
N-K5
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 4$
KxB
PxP
R-R1
RxR?? (e)
$\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Rl}$
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3$
Resigns
(a) Correct was 8....Pxp; 9. Bap, P-N4;
10. B-Q3: P-B4. Now Bleck gets a bad gane positionally.
(b) 10....PxB; 11.PxP, N-K5; 12. $\mathrm{NxN}_{2} \mathrm{PxN}$;
13. $\mathrm{QxP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4} ; 14 \mathrm{QxPch}$.
(c) The losing move.
(d) 21...PxB; 22. PxPch, K-R2; 23. P-B6, BxP; 24. BxNch. ctc.
(c) This loses a piece but black cannot sove his griae anyway.

Round 5,U.S.Open
Ted Warner Thite

## $\frac{\text { N.Rossolino }}{\text { Bleck }}$ (2605)

Queen's Indian
PCO Col. 86
White outplays Black in the errly going but does not know how to anintain or increase his advantrge.

| 1 | P-24 | N-KB3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB3}$ | P-QN3 |
| 4 | P-KN3 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 6 | 0-0 | 0-0 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P-Q4 |
| 8 | P-EN3 | PxP |
| 9 | PxP | P-B4 |
| 10 | P-\&5 | PxP |
| 11 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | B-23 |
| 12 | P-B4 | R-Kl |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Nx} \cap \mathrm{P}$ | QBxN |
| 14 | PxB | RxN |
| 15 | PxR | BxP |
| 16 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | Q-Q3 |

Warner-Rossolino continued:

| 17 B-B4 | QN-Q2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18 Q-R4 | R-Kı |
| $19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | Q-N1 |
| $20 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Rl}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Bl}$ |
| 21 QR-QI | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ |
| 22 B-N5 ? | P-KR3 |
| 23 BxN | BxB |
| 24 2-N3 | N-K4 |
| 25 P-QR4 | Q-03 |
| 26 E-N5 | R-N1 |
| 27 B -R3 | P-KR4 |
| 28 QR-Q2 | P-B5 |
| $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | P-R5 |
| 30 PxP | Q-R6 (a) |
| 31 PxB | Q-B8ch |
| $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{BI}$ | QxR/2 |
| 33 -.R6 | P-B6 |
| 34 QxRP | R-KBl |
| 35 QxP | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B}$ ? |

36 Resigns
(a) White overlooked this nove.

At what point did Wamer lose his advantage? The answer seems to have been after the meaningless $22 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ ?

The masters who kibitsed believed 22 P-Q6! was the winning continuation sscause after that Rossolimo seems to have no good reply and has a cramped position.

It is quite probable that Warner would have seen this move if he had not. been under severe time pressure.
** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **
The joust with Rossolimo represent $e^{\lambda}$ the high water mark of Warner's adventure in Milwakee. After losing, Fe dropped from the lime light. From here on he played quite well, with a fow lapses, and managed to finish in 33 th place in a field of 182.

Among his opponents were masters and experts such as Sandria, Turianciky, Yatron and Sobel. Instead of being butchered by these worthies, he was able to hold his own and was playfing better chess at the finish than at the beginning and against stronger men

In the next column we have the records of both Washington representattives which we were unable to give you last month.

## Zemgalis

Round Opponent
1 Edger McCormick
2 V. Pafnutieff
3 Curt Brasket J. Donovan Robert Sobel Michael Yatron Hunter Weeks Mark Surgies Robert Brieger Elliot Hearst Larry Evans A. Santasiere

Dr. B. Schmidt

Rating Result 2228-exp. Won

| ? | won |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2212-exp. | lost |
| 2260-exp | W |
| 2190-exp | dre |
| 2124-exp | drew |
| ? | won |
| ? | , |
| 68-cx | won |
| 380 master | arew |
| 660 master | st |
| 2409master | lost |
| 89-A |  |

Won 5, Lost 4, Drew 4.

## Warner

Round Opponent

| l | C. A. Lyons |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | H. Sohramm |
| 3 | J. H. Carroll |
| 4 | V. Liepaskalns |
| 5 | N. Rossolimo |
| 6 | R. Pitsohak |
| 7 | Carl Weberg |
| B | Dr. Bela Rozsa |
| 9 | A. Sandrin |
| 10 | M. Turiansky |
| 11 | Michael Yatron |
| 12 | N. Kampars |
| 13 | Robert Sobel |


| Rating Result |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 72-C | won |
| 650-C |  |
| 1875-B |  |
| 1926-A |  |
| 2605mast | lost |
| ? | los |
| 29-A | won |
| 080-A | los |
| 2169-ex | won |
| 2345 master | los |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4=0 \\ & ? ? \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |

## Won 7, Lost 4, Drew 2.

* Mistakenly given as Wesenberg-1994 on page 1 of last month's Washington Chess Letter.

The first five games were stenciled for us by F. H. Weaver. Games six, seven and eight were taken oare of by O. W. Manney. This cooperation makes the task of stenciling 13 games oomparatively easy.

Everything is not sweetness, etc! The editor had to fill up all of the space between Weaver's and Manney's contributions. Knowing no chess joke or story to fit all of this space, I was hard put to fill up this page. It may have been better left blank.

## GOING OUT ON A LII.B

With all of the work it takes just to get out one issue of the washington Chess Letter witrout any tangible compensation, I decidec to waste one page this issue having a little fun. The prognosticator: are busy picking the football races all over the country so bear witt me while I tell you all about our ooming Pugct Sound League race before the first pawn is lost.

OLMMPIA - Mulford, Cerretelli and ReVeal oan be dangerous. I had a lot of trouble with H. Yocom in the Wash. Open. I think Harmeson may do his opponents a little harm (pun?) on a lower board.

TACOMA - Holmes, Hewitt, Hultman, Collins and Coubrough. Not a soft touch in the lot. If they can have this quintet ready for their most formidable rivals, they could make it two titles in a row.

MCNEAL - They licked Tacoma in practice but the Tacoma tearn didn't have their strong lineup. I think inNeil's Weinbaura will do well in league play but his support may have rough going.

KITSAP - What's this I hear about Zeigalis playing for them? Well, Jack Nourse is quite capable if he doesn't move too fast and have to give up a knight (heh!) and this Dr. Alriond, I hear, is a strong opponent. The others are unknown in regards to strength.

SEATTLE - Davidsen can probably get Bever, IVicormick, Eaberg and Weaver to help hir in an effort to win a league natch. They will need someone like Sheets, Dr. Shephard, Muller or Seiter to help make Seattle much of a threat.

NEST SEATTLE - Hanney will be the nain readache for the opposition. INage and F. M. Howard could help hirn a lot.

UNIVERSITY OF WASH. - They lost Ballantine and hulford and it is too such to expect Washington to do very well. Warner (stronger than last year?), Sanden, A.Clark and LaFreniere will try to put the U. near the top. If they could get Hugh Noland and Walloch, they would have a chance.

SEATTLE YMCA - This tean, I think, should change its name. Al most all of their players live ir Northeast Seattle. They will havc a lot of trouble because at least four of theri could play on the top board. A line-up of Joachint: Wade, Bader, Vellias and DeWitt \& substitutes like Hebert, Walker and the Bader boys, should win its share of matches.

If Everett and/or Seattle U. can play, I say "swell!" Husby will be lost sitting out a Puget Sound League season after sll of these years! How about it, Sid Nourse, Stephen Willer, Brandstror and Neal Power?

With ilichigan State or Ohic State playing U.C.I.A. in the Rose Bowl before the first first down of the football season has been wracked up, I predict that the big charipionship match of the Puget Sound League season will find Tacoila clashing with the seattle Y.

Here's how the tearis will end the season, so after reading this, fold up your boards, pack up your sets and go back to watching $T$. V.

The Champs - Seattle Y. M. C. A. Nu:ber 2-Tacoma, unless they can upset the $Y$.
Third - Seattle. With all the men this club can muster, I believe they will be able to make up for last yenr's poor showing.
Fourth - University of Washington.
Fifth - McNeil
Sixth - Olympia
Seventh - Kitsap
Eighth - West Seattle
This piece ought to set a lot of players to playing with a vengeance trying to prove how wrong I a:! Stert sawing away, I believe ray linb is a strong one. Wade

## No. 1 - PROBLEM

W. J. COUTURE

BLACK - 6 Pieces


WHITE - 7 Pieces White mates in two

No. 2 GAME POSITION YANOFSKY BLACK - 9 Pieces


WHITE - 10 Pieces PRINS
White wins brilliantly
No. 3
GAME POSITION
YANOFSKY
BLACK - 13 Pieces


WHITE - 13 Pieces ROBACEK
A missed brilliancy
No. 4 COMBINATION

PILLSBURY
BLACK - II Pieces


WHITE - II Pieces SHOWALTER

## DIAGRAIMS by MANNEY

## THIS MONTH'S FARE

No. 1. An original by Yilliam d. Couture, a prison shut-in, who has become a prolific contributor. The solution will be printed below.

No. 2. A position submitted by Mr. Couture, with annotations. This brillancy has a problematic finish. White played sacrificially and with precision. 29. P-QNL!, RxB; Black seems unmindful of the coming event. 30. PxP ch., KxR; (Here if $30 . . . . ., \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q2} ; 31$. QxPch. is the same. 31. R-BL ch., PxR; This is a problematic finish: 32. QxRP ch., $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{NL}$; 33. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{RL}$ check mate: a pure mate.

No. 3. Also contributed by Mr. Couture. One of the most brilliant sacrificial conceptions of recent times was missed because of timc pressurc. The missed move was White's 30 th. The play from the diagram: 22. N-KL!!, PxN; 23. RxP!!, K-K; 24. QxRP, RxR; 25. QxR, P-QB4; 2t. NxP:!, PxN; 27. R-Q6, RxP; 28. RxPch, K-Q; 29. Q-K7ch., K-B; and here White played 30 . R-QE, allowing Black to save himself with $30 \ldots .$. , $B-N 4$ : He should have continucd the amezing attack with:
30. P-RL4, P-K6; 31. R-Q6, Q-B2; 32. RxB, P-K7; 33. $K-Q 2, N \times P ; 34 . Q X Q$ ch., $K X Q ; 35 . R-K 6, N-N 5$; 36. RxP, R-B4; 37. R-K7 ch., K-N3; 38. R-KN7, threatening $P-R t$.

No. 4. Contributed by H.H. Roberts of Seattle. Played at Now York March 12, 1897 in a match between Harry Nelson Pillsbury and Jackson Whowalter, the famcus Kentuckian and then U.S. Champion. Pillsbury won the match $10-8$ with three draws. From the diagram: 23. NXQP ch., BxN; 24. Q-B6 ch., K-N1; 25. $B-N 3$ ch., Q-Q4; 26. BxQ ch., E-K3; 27. BxB mate. Unusual to see Pillsbury mate brilliantly:
(Snlution to this month's No. 1: 1. Q-B3.)

## AUGUST SOLUTIONS

No. 1: 1. Q-N3.
No. 2: 1. $\mathbb{N}-\mathrm{NL}_{4}$ ch., $K-R 2$; 2. $K-B 7, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q5}$; 3. B-Q3 ch., $K-R 1 ; 4 . N-K 5, B-Q 4+c h ., 5 \cdot K-B 8, B-N 6 ; G . B-N 1$, F-Q6; 7. BxP, B-R7; 8. B-B2 and wins.
No. 3: $35 \ldots . . \mathrm{Q}$-Q6ch.; 36. K-R2, N-B6ch.; 37. PxN, Q-B7ch.; 38. K-R1, N-N6 mate.
No. 4: 17. Q-N7 ch., K-NL ; 18. P-RL ch., K-B4; 19. QxN ch., BxQ; 20. NxP mate; or 17..., K-RL; 18. NxN ch., $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{NL}$; 19. P-QN4, K-R5; 20. Q-R6 mate.


Games completed to Sept. 26

| Section |  |  |  | Points |  | Section |  |  |  | Points |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51A-12 | Coubrough | 1 | Hardinge | 0 | 28 | 51B-13A | Dickey | 1 | Merk, F.E. | 0 | 42 |
| 51A-13A | Mulford | 1 | Magerkurth | 0 | 34 | $52 A-4 B$ | Husby | 1 | Yocom, H.E. | 0 | 50 |
| 52A-3A | Husby | 1 | Allen | 0 | 34 |  | Howard | 1 | Husby | 0 | 50 |
| 53A-1B | Greene | $\frac{1}{2}$ | Coubrough | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 20 | 52A-4A | Howard | 1 | Mulford | 0 | 94 |
| $52 \mathrm{~B}-2 \mathrm{~A}$ | Winters | 1 | Roberts, D. |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

V.W.Bever C.L.Yocom P. Husby Seering R.R.Merk T.D.Baker W. Foster

Section 50A-11 W L

Howard seems to be the star performer this month, having picked up 94 points on his win from Mulford. We have a note from Joe Carretelli advising that he will be ready to start his games in section $53 \mathrm{~A}-2 \mathrm{~A} \& 2 \mathrm{~B}$ about October 5. He has been delayed due to press of the seasonal activity at this time of the year in his canning business. $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{Sg} t$ Raymond Dickey has entered another section and as he expects to stay put in Bangor, Me, for some time, his games will proceed at a faster pace than was possible while in Korea. He is out to raise his score on the rating ladder.

Comments vs No Comments by V. W. Bever.
In postal chess the vital and necessary things on thëpost card are: (l) Correct address, (2) Correctly recorded move (with repetition of the opponents latest move) Beyond the above, players differ very much in the amount of 'Chatter' indulged in. When I first started postal chess in 1934 I thought it a good idea when beginning a game with a new opponent to give a thumbnail sketch of myself and on each card thereafter, I tried to include something of interest in the way of comment. As time went on my interest in the hobby increased but the tedious post card preparation took some of the fun out of it all.

One day I thought I would see just how much the irksome post card part could be reduced. It seemed that the postmark was sufficient for the date and the rubber stamp was all the signature necessary. Salutations and complimentary closing could readily be dispensed with. And in my judgement comments were more or less of a habit, hard to make interesting, and the recipient indifferent as to anything but the move anyway. So my cards now rarely contain anything but the essentials.

There are those, I am sure, who differ with me very emphatically in all of this. Naybe some of them would like to present their side in these columns.
(Editors note) Now, here is an argument that may find supporters on both sides. Does anyone else have anything to say about it?

Enter our Postal Chess tourna－ ments any time．New sections are formed as soon as five new entries are received． $50 \neq$ per section of five players．Eish player plays two games with each of four opponents，one game as white and one as black．

Send entries to
R．R．Merk，Postal Ed． 1026 E 71 Street Seattle 5，Wash．

POSTAL CHESS Rating氷水米米
Class A Players

Greenc
Mulford
Coubrough
Cerretelli
Bever
Dolle
Cairncross
Magerkurth
Kaffenberger
Howard，F．M．
Husby
Hasen
Wade
Herdinge
Almond
Naas
Sams
Hellums
Yocom，H．E．
Harmeson
Yocom，C．L．

76574695 1707 1590 $1532+1546$ 1477
$14555+11583$
1445
$130+150.15$
1358
1350－129r
$1300:=0$
$1300 \div 1350$
120
1268－1326
125
1250
1226
1213
1190
17701402
1136
1035

## 

| Class B PlayersWinters |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Luters | 71258 |
| Dickey | ／1208 |
| Merk，R．R． | 1205 |
| Ensstrom | ／ 1148 |
| Mccarthy | ／ 1148 |
| Skarsten | ／11400608 |
| Moore | 1137 |
| Roberts，Don | $F$ 1306 1090 |
| Emerson | 1106 |
| Holland | ／ 1086 |
| Allen，W．P． | 708126 |
| Kendall |  |
| LaFreniere | 1054 |
| McCormick | 1050 |
| Nrichmon | 1050 |
| Falk | 1050 |
| Schrank | 1050 |
| Vukonich | 1050 |
| Leonard | 1050 |
| Southerland | 1050 |
| Merk，Fred | 1046 |
| Thomas | 1030 |
| Parry | 1012 |
| Martin | 997 |
| Baker | 964 |
|  | 967 |
| Raleigh | 807 |
| Cox | 742 |


[^0]:    FLASH: : The fate of the proposed Oregon Chess Bulleton was a sad one. However, I have salvaged the nailing list which I had gathered over a long period and arl sending it along to you in the kopes that you can sell them the Washington Chess Letter. Jim Schrift (Jin is leaving Portland to live in San Francisco about October 3rd.)

