

Washington Chess Letter



JUNE, 1964

PLAY IN THE SEATTLE OPEN
JULY 4-5

WASHINGTON CHESS LETTER
Issue Number 199

Editor-Publisher _____ Dan Wade
11030 Chelsea Place N. E. _____ Seattle 55

Circulation & Postal _____ R. R. Merk
1026 N. E. 71st St. _____ Seattle 15

Treasurer _____ F. H. Weaver
501 Olympic Place _____ Seattle 99

Ratings Director _____ F. M. Howard
5940 36th Ave. S. W. _____ Seattle 6

Tournament Director _____ Buz Eddy
Rt. 1, Box 625 B _____ Kent, Wash.

Cover Designer _____ R. R. Merk

Join the Washington Chess Federation and receive the Washington Chess Letter once a month. Only \$2.00 per year. Send money to R. R. Merk, 1026 N. E. 71st St., Seattle 15, Washington.

The editor has been working over time and has lost ten hours per week of prime time he generally used to put out this magazine. This makes this the most difficult issue he has had to get out. Material arriving after the second week of the month will have to appear in next month's Washington Chess Letter.

SEATTLE OPEN JULY 4-5

The winner of the Seattle Open is 1964 Seattle City Chess Champion.

The Seattle Open is a six round Swiss on Saturday & Sunday July 4th and 5th. The site is a new one for northwest chess - the fine Roosevelt Hotel at 7th Ave. & Pine St. - phone MA 4-1400. Plenty of free parking as Saturday is a holiday. Stay over night at the hotel if possible.

Registration at 9 a.m., 1st round at 10.

Time control is 50 moves in two hours & 25 moves per hour thereafter.

Entry fee \$5.00. Only one section (no memberships required.) Upset awards & class prizes for top A, B & C.

BUZ EDDY will be the tourney director.

We will see you there! (On vacation.)

WASH. JUNIOR JULY 18-19

The 1964 WASHINGTON STATE JUNIOR will be held at the Seattle Chess Club in the Seattle Center Food Circus building. The days are Saturday & Sunday July 18th-19th.

Registration 9 a.m. 1st round 10 a.m.

Time control 50 moves in 2 hours & 25 moves per hour thereafter.

Entry fee is \$3.00. Tourney director is BUZ EDDY. Open to anyone under 21. The tournament is a five round Swiss.

SEAFAIR OPEN AUGUST 1-2

The annual Seattle Seafair Open will be held Saturday & Sunday, August 1st-2nd in the Seattle Center Food Circus. Secretary CHARLES JOACHIM has made all of the arrangements.

There is a \$100 guaranteed first prize. There will be two sections - a USCF championship section open to all and a reserve section open only to players with ratings below 1800. W.C.F. membership is required in both sections & USCF membership is required in the championship section.

Entry fees are \$5.00 for the championship section and \$3.00 for the reserve.

Registration at 8:30 a.m. First round at 10 a.m. The usual rules - 50 moves in 2 hours, Median tie-breaking, A 6 round event. A one round per evening Seafair tmt. will be held at the same place the following 4 or 5 evenings depending on entries.

OTHER COMING EVENTS

AUGUST 15-16th ASHLAND, OREGON TOURNAMENT
(Limited to class A & under. Details in next month's WASHINGTON CHESS LETTER.)

OCTOBER 3rd - 4th CAMAS USCF TOURNAMENT

OCTOBER 17th- 18th PEACE ARCH OPEN TOURNEY

(Bring clocks, board & men for all events.)

LETTERS

The following was received too late for last month's Washington Chess Letter but is on a subject that is, unfortunately, hardly out dated! BUZ EDDY, WCF Tourney Director, writes - "To unite Northwest chess, a fine goal, there's none better. But please keep my ol' friend the WASHINGTON Chess Letter."

JIM MULDER WINS UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON CHAMPIONSHIP

The University of Washington Chess Club held a Swiss tournament during the week-end of May 16-17, open to all university affiliated players. Eight turned out for the five round event. Freshman JIM MULDER won the event with a 4-1 score. He lost his 2nd round game to WILLY BRANDAL. LARRY BRANDT placed second and TERRY NELSON finished third.

The tournament was held at the University of Washington Student Union Building & the time control was 25 moves per hour. The fact that time control took effect during a critical part of the game found more than the usual number of games under time-pressure, although only one game (Mulder-Nelson) was lost by time forfeit.

Six of the entrants were undergraduate students at the university. Willy Brandal, graduate student in the mathematics department and FRANK ALEXANDRO, member of the faculty in the Dept. of Electrical Engineering, filled out the field of eight.

LARRY BRANDT led the field for most of the tournament, but in his final round game with the tournament winner, developed a winning position then lost his queen to a one move tactic. In his second round game with

Terry Nelson, Brandt fought a long uphill battle to establish a drawn position, then overlooked a fairly easy endgame win and accepted the draw.

The U. of W. Chess Club took advantage of the W. C. F. Tournament Director's standing offer to direct, or assist in, any event held in the northwest. Club president Jim Mulder made the arrangements assisted by club secretary R. Hayek. All eight players in the tourney gave Seattle addresses.

(Now we come to the part of Eddy's letter that is hardly outdated even though printed a month late.)

The Puget Sound Open is now history but, as director, I should like to add this post-mortem. I must frankly admit that I was appalled by the lack of sportsmanlike conduct by several participants in that event, and feel that steps may be necessary to check this situation before it becomes detrimental to organized northwest chess.

A director is empowered by the U. S. C.F. to impose penalties for unethical conduct by a player during the course of an event. This includes such things as fines, forfeitures and even expulsion from tournaments. As distasteful as any of these alternatives seem to me personally, they are, I feel, more desirable than permitting unsportsmanlike conduct to run rampant through northwest chess tournaments.

Indeed it is truly unfortunate that players must be forced through threat of penalty to conduct themselves as gentlemen and, of course, as in most cases of this nature, it is only a very few individuals that make any action along these lines necessary.

But before we decide what action, if any, to take regarding ethical conduct, let us first examine the type of action that, in my opinion constitutes a breach of good sportsmanship. In the first place, any action designed to distract ones opponent from full concentration certainly cannot be considered to be in the spirit necessary for good competition. In

Place	Player	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	Score	Solk.
1.	Jim Mulder	W5	L6	W4	W3	W2	4 -1	
2.	Larry J. Brandt	W4	D3	W6	W7	L1	3½-1½	
3.	Terry Nelson	W8	D2	D7	L1	W5	3 -2	
4.	Jeffrey Mueller	L2	W8	L1	L5	W7	2 -3	12
5.	Richard Hayek	L1	L7	W8	W4	L3	2 -3	11½
6.	Willy Brandal	W7	W1	L2	LF	LF	2 -3	9
7.	Frank J. Alexandro	L6	W5	D3	L2	L4	1½-3½	
8.	Mike Greg	L3	L4	L5	Bye	LF	1 -4	

this category fall such actions as unnecessary conversation at or near the board, deliberate or prolonged rattling or crumpling paper, blowing smoke across the board, wearing clothing of a loud or distractive nature, etc. etc. This type of distraction falls under the category of lack of consideration for ones

opponent. (How about bringing attractive women into the tournament room? The ed.)

The second type of conduct is a player's responsibility toward the tournament as a whole. The most common violations of this are noisy analysis of a completed contest and unreasonably loud conversation in the tournament room. Along this same line is the all too frequent practice of failing to appear for a game or withdrawing & failing to inform the director. Forfeits hurt a tournament anytime, but the result of forfeit without notification can be devastating.

The unethical practices that are specifically outlawed in the FIDE laws of chess do not really present a major problem, but I shall make passing mention of them here. Analysis of a game that is in progress, or utilizing printed reference material, constitute a direct violation of the laws of chess. Agreeing to short draws is discouraged, but cannot be ruled against except on ethical grounds. Taking a move back strictly is illegal. The present touch move rule is slightly ambiguous and cannot be enforced without a claim from an opponent. Yet, in my opinion, a player is honor-bound, if not legally, to move the piece he touches first.

During the recent Puget Sound Open a player walked away from a lost game & left his clock running. Although this violates no specific law, it did constitute what, in my opinion, is poor sportsmanship. It showed a very definite lack of consideration for the opponent, as he had to remain near the board, and furthermore it showed lack of any consideration for the tournament as the beginning of the following round was delayed some twenty minutes. This is specifically the type of conduct that, if permitted, would eventually prove to be very detrimental to organized chess.

Before I, as tournament director, take any overt action, such as the imposing of penalties for unsportsmanlike conduct, I shall first attempt to enlist the support of the players toward promoting ethical competition in chess. This, of course, includes a showing of responsibility toward the tournament as a whole, consideration of the opponent, and the observation of the laws of the game. Failing this, it may become necessary to accept the penalty alternative offered by the USCF. BUZ EDDY, WCF Tournament Dir.

Following is a juicy descriptive eye-witness account of a part of the recent Puget Sound Open. This appeared in the Tacoma Chess Bulletin and is by Bertram, Fulton and Haugen.

"By some stroke of fate "all heart" Lockhart attracted no other than "fighting" Jim McCormick. After Lockhart's charge of the "knight" brigade, McCormick found himself in extreme time pressure. Seizing upon an opportunity to exchange, "aggressive" Jim McCormick snapped up his rook and removed Lockhart's bishop. However, in the midst of his rapid-fire move, Jim suddenly developed rigor mortis. Seeing that he would lose the exchange with that move, he replaced the bishop and the rook while he mumbled, "The move isn't completed until I punch my clock." (At this point many of the observers felt the only way the move could have been completed was for Lockhart to punch McCormick.) But "all heart" Lockhart, courageous and gallant as he is, refused in spite of everything to recognize this unsportsmanlike conduct. Needless to say with this "extra" move, "sterling" Jim won the game.

After finishing his game with "all-heart", it seemed that Jim's "brilliant" victory had filled his cup to overflowing with human kindness. Seeking to impart his vast store of chess knowledge to those more unfortunate than himself, he proceeded to help Leslie Vitanyi in his game with Viktors Pupols. However, Pupols did not see eye to eye with "Big" Jim. This was made quite clear when the relative silence was broken by the clatter of flying chairs and the thud of body hitting table. When McCormick managed to get to his feet, he suddenly lost his generous attitude. No doubt the spill caused McCormick's cup of human kindness to spill."

Editor's note: You cannot afford to miss a tournament if you want to keep up with current events! We think Jim needs a public relations expert to run interference for him. Got to keep up that image, you know!

For more letters pertaining to matters confronting northwest chess, the Chess Letter, etc., etc., see the next page.

WILLIAM BLACKMORE of Bellingham writes:
"The Danny Chin simultaneous was a flop. Only ten participated. His score was $9\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$. Chin clobbered Blackmore, Raymond, Gregory, Hashisaki, Worthen, Daugert, B. McLeod, Watrous & Butler. Mayr's game lasted beyond the others and Chin found himself sitting down as in a normal game. It was an endgame draw.

Bellingham Chess Club Top Ten on Ladder:
1. Danny Chin 888, 2. Harold Raymond 718, 3. William Blackmore 704, 4. Hugh Gregory 631, 5. Josef Mayr 593, 6. Larry Daugert 583, 7. Steve Ludwig 578, 8. Peter Hashisaki 558, 9. John Graham 537, 10. Woody Watrous 510.

Now, there are a few complaints I have concerning the WCL in the past few months.

Personally, I think the Kashdan system is the stupidest thing I've heard of. Since when have we had trouble with "grand master draws" in the northwest? There are several reasons against it including that it is hard to tell a person's won-loss from his Kashdan and another is that all games are not worth the same, some are 4 points, some are 5. I once saw Kashdan on the Groucho Marx show & he's a nut. I think he's getting funny in his old age. (Ed. note: Before lawyers begin to call, the opinion of the writer isn't necessarily the opinion of this magazine!)

However, the editor of the Chess Letter has no right to interfere with the proceedings of a tournament director. If that is the way he wants to run things you cannot change all the results from Kashdan to normal. Maybe the players wanted to operate under that system. You haven't been elected tournament director in Oregon. Of course you can tell them how silly it is. If it is truly inadequate, time will be their instructor, but how kindly will they take to bitter criticism?

Also, I was disappointed that there were no games in the last issue. I noticed that there was no one elected to this post at the last election. Is there a reason for this? (Ed. note: Ran out of available hours needed to make games pages. No one at present seems interested in being game's editor. Many have written in that Pupols did the best job ever in this department but presently he does not have the time. We can always use more games. Recently Dick Parsons called. He is back in the northwest and will live in Seattle. We may get him back into harness.) Also the annual meeting should be concomitant to a major tournament such as the Washington Open or

the Seafair Open as the USCF does at the US Open. Who wants to travel a hundred miles just for a meeting? You will get a bigger attendance for sure. (Ed. note: Arranging the annual meeting is no more the editor's job than directing chess events in Oregon.)

Is Mr. Weaver going to retain the USCF or old WCF system for rating games? It would be nice to know. This chopping and changing can be confusing. USCF membership in Bellingham is now greater than WCF membership. (Editor's note: Mr. Fred Howard, not Mr. Howard Weaver, is the new rating's director. We have no more to do with the northwest ratings than we do with directing events in Oregon.)

The part about the church-goers going to six-round Swiss tournaments was too vitriolic and hasty. If we are going to exclude the religious, do you think chess will ever become popular? (We don't know very many religious players - The Ed.) There should be only five round Swiss with the normal 4th round cut out for church, or rest for those who sleep in (chess players like to do this also) or a six rounder with a stepped up time limit and set back Sunday A.M. Of course, a person couldn't consider skipping his job for only a chess tourney. Most people have trouble skipping for jury duty. In a large corporation, they don't give a hoot for things like that. They hire you to produce not to have days off. The constant battle between life and chess wages on. (Ed. note: We were just trying to be amusing when we wrote not to let your job interfere with your chess! Guess we failed.)

Regarding further Bellingham club news, the Bellingham school team champion was the Whatcom Junior High team. Members of this team are Bellingham junior champion PETER HASHISAKI, BRUCE MCLEOD, SCOTT MCLEOD and WAYNE LEE."

The above letter didn't leave much room for another Bellinghamite, MYRON MILLER. He writes: "I agree with you in your opposition to the Kashdan system of scoring. Mr. Kern wrote last issue "Rather than penalizing draws, I think the Kashdan system consoles the losers." (Miller disagrees) In the 1964 Inland Empire Open BUZ EDDY scored $3\frac{1}{2}-2\frac{1}{2}$ while PAT HICKEY scored 3-3. Convert to Kashdan Points and they both end up with 15. So under the Kashdan system Mr. Eddy is penalized for his draws. This is my objection to the Kashdan system." (Hickey's 3 wins netted him 12 & his 3 losses 3. Eddy 2, lost 1 and drew 3. (8 plus 1 plus 6 equals 15.)

DOWN AT THE CLUB

by FRED M. HOWARD

In the monthly speed tourney, VIKTORS PUPOLS scored 11-0 to top the field of 12 in the event held Friday evening, June 5th.

JOHN BRALEY finished second with 9-2, JOHN PERICIC third with 8-3, and PAT HICKEY fourth with 7-4 in this Seattle Chess Club monthly feature. Others in the competition were JOHN WALKER $6\frac{1}{2}$ - $4\frac{1}{2}$, FRANK ALEXANDRO $5\frac{1}{2}$ - $5\frac{1}{2}$, DICK DORWIN $4\frac{1}{2}$ - $6\frac{1}{2}$, RON ERICKSON $4\frac{1}{2}$ - $6\frac{1}{2}$, OLIVER LAFRENIERE 4-7, GEORGE WEEKS 2-9, BRYSON SMITH 2-9 and LOREN OWENS 2-9.

Braley lost to Pupols and drew a pair with Walker and LaFreniere. Hickey lost to the two leaders and also was downed by Dorwin and LaFreniere. Pericic lost his 3 to Pupols, Braley and Hickey. Walker lost to Pupols, Pericic and Hickey, drew Braley but was upset by tail-ender Owens.

Alexandro was fairly consistent losing to the top five, drawing with Dorwin & winning from the bottom five. Dorwin won over Hickey, LaFreniere, Weeks, and Smith besides drawing with Alexandro. Erickson lost to the top six, drew with LaFreniere and won his other four. LaFreniere won 3 with his victims being Hickey, Weeks and Owens. He drew with both Braley and Erickson.

Weeks defeated Smith and Owens, Smith downed LaFreniere and Owens while Owens won over Walker and Dorwin.

SVEND MONRAD now leads the Seattle C. C. perpetual tournament ladder. The top ten are:

1. Svend Monrad... 556	6. Gerrit Zweers... 507
2. John Pericic... 543	7. Steve Knodle... 506
3. John Walker... 535	8. Jim Shields... 505
4. F. Alexandro... 522	9. Eugene Fomin... 503
5. Jim Campbell... 517	10. Oscar McDaniel... 501

Returning to the local scene after quite an absence is DICK PARSONS. Dick was in Alaska, the New York Fair & played in a Florida chess tournament since leaving the northwest.

NORM CASTILLO WINS SEATTLE AREA HIGH SCHOOL INDIVIDUAL CHAMPIONSHIP

In a seven player, three round Swiss, staged Saturday, May 16th at the Seattle Chess Club, NORM CASTILLO of Queen Anne emerged victorious with a 3-0 score. MIKE VERBER of Ballard High School finished in second place with $2\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$. Mike is a transfer from last year's Columbus, Ohio city championship team.

BOB DAVIS of Rainier Beach and LARRY SOWELL of Meadowdale each scored 2-1 but Davis was awarded third place because he had won from Sowell.

STEVE KNODLE of West Seattle placed fifth with $1\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$. He drew with Verber in the last round when he found that a passed RP and a bishop on the black squares could not drive Verber's king off a white R8 square!

DOUG KNUITSEN of Edmonds took sixth with 1-2 while JIM WILLEY, also of Edmonds finished last with 0-3 but he did not finish scoreless as he earned three Kashdan points.

Several probable starters passed up the tournament to take a test at the University of Washington or work.

Seattle Chess Club member STEPHEN CHRISTOPHER was tournament director.

			W	L	D	Kash.
1. N. Castillo	W7	Bye	W6	3-0-0	12	
2. M. Verber	W6	W3	D5	2-0-1	10	
3. Bob Davis	W4	L2	W7	2-1-0	9	
4. L. Sowell	L3	W5	Bye	2-1-0	9	
5. S. Knodle	Bye	L4	D2	1-1-1	7	
6. D. Knutson	L2	W7	L1	1-2-0	6	
7. Jim Willey	L1	L6	L3	0-3-0	3	

Editor's comment: Whatever became of the Seattle area high school league? It even had two divisions, north & south. As for the above tourney, a first round winner should never receive a bye in the second round when there are three first round losers to give a bye to.

As for the Kashdan points, it has been pointed out elsewhere in this issue that a 2 won, 1 loss, 3 drawn record and a 3 won, 3 loss, 0 drawn record both are 15 Kashdan point totals. This seems to penalize draws too severely. Kashdan's pitch for modern day recognition wasn't very thoroughly thought out.

CHAPPUIS wins Idaho open

by LYMAN JOHNSTON

GASTON CHAPPUIS and DICK HEILBUT both of Salt Lake City, Utah both scored 4-1 to top the ten player field in the 1964 Idaho Open. Chappuis won on secondary tie-breaking points to take the title.

Chappuis lost his opening round encounter to GARRY WILSON of Boise, Idaho. A loss usually is fatal to title hopes in a 5 round Swiss but with the small number of entrants in this one, Chappuis was able to take the title with a four game sweep climaxed with a win over Heilbut in the last round. Heilbut had things his own way through the first 4 rounds. Needing only a draw in the big one, he lost instead and exit championship!

TED HARTWELL of Twin Falls was the highest placing Idaho player with $3\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$. He did not meet either of the top two, drawing an opening round game to 5th place finisher DAVE GROENIG and losing later to Idaho Champion DICK VANDENBURG.

Vandenburg, highest rated player going into the tournament, did poorly for him. A last round win over Dr. Groenig (not confirmed at this writing as Johnston left the tourney before its completion - but we have a deadline!) would give Vandenburg a final score of 3-2. He lost only once but a pair of draws contributed heavily to his downfall. Vandenburg was a pawn up on Dr. Groenig and the win was going to be absolutely routine according to Johnston. Also no problems or complications were possible.

The accommodations for the tourney at Nampa, Idaho were the best our reporter had seen and the weather was ideal. In view of this it is unfortunate that more Idaho players did not show up. Besides the 10 player championship section, there was a 11 player reserve section limited to players rated under 1850. We have no information on the reserve section at this writing. (June 19th.)

1964 Idaho Open - May 30th and May 31st - At Nampa, Idaho.

Place	Player	Rating	Home	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	W L D	Score	Solk.	S-B
1.	Gaston Chappuis	1965	Salt Lake	L8	W9	W6	W7	W2	4-1-0	4 -1	11	$9\frac{1}{2}$
2.	Dick Heilbut	1971	Salt Lake	W10	W8	W7	W5	L1	4-1-0	4 -1	11	7
3.	Ted Hartwell	1733	Twin Falls	D5	W6	L4	W9	W7	3-1-1	$3\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$		
4.	Dick Vandenburg	2064	Boise	D6	L7	W3	D8	W5	2-1-2	3 -2		
5.	Dr. Dave Groenig	1937	Spokane	D3	W10	W8	L2	L4	2-2-1	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$	13	
6.	Deane Moore	1838	Portland	D4	L3	L1	W10	W9	2-2-1	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$	$12\frac{1}{2}$	
7.	Sven Nilsson	1849	Salt Lake	W9	W4	L2	L1	L3	2-3-0	2 -3		
8.	Garry Wilson	1807	Boise	W1	L2	L5	D4	L10	1-3-1	$1\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$		
9.	Edgar Hall	1653	Ogden, Utah	L7	L1	W10	L3	L6	1-4-0	1 -4	13	
10.	Lyman Johnston	1828	Olympia	L2	L5	L9	L6	W8	1-4-0	1 -4	$11\frac{1}{2}$	

GARRY WILSON had the distinction of winning over the first place player and losing to the last place player! Vandenburg was the tourney director so had a valid excuse for not doing better. More on this event, including the reserve tourney, when we get the info.

GAMES

GREG KERN writes: "Here's an interesting encounter from the 1964 Inter State Open. Held April 18-19, the tourney was won by IVARS DALBERGS $5\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$. This is his fifth round draw with Kern."

G. KERN

I. DALBERGS

1. P-K4	P-QB4
2. N-KB3	P-Q3
3. P-Q4	PxP
4. NxP	N-KB3
5. N-QB3	P-QR3
6. P-KN3	P-K4
7. N/4-K2	P-QN4
8. B-N2	B-N2
9. P-KR3	B-K2
10. P-KN4	QN-Q2
11. N-N3	N-N3
12. N-B5	O-O
13. P-N5?!	

Does White have an attack or is he just trying to impress the kibitzers? 13. B-N5 is a good looking move, but seems to come to a dead end. For example: 13. B-N5 (threat: BxN) P-N5; 14. BxN, BxB; 15. NxP! (15. N-K2, P-Q4 or 15. N-Q5, NxN lead to even games) 15. ---, PxN; 16. NxB, Q-B2; 17. N-R5, PxP; 18. R-N1, N-R5; 19. Q-Q2, N-B6 winning.

13. ----	N-K1
14. P-KR4	P-N5
15. N-Q5	NxN
16. PxN	B-B1
17. B-K4	BxN
18. BxB	P-N3
19. B-Q3	Q-R4?!
20. Q-B3	P-KB4

If 20. ---, P-N6 dis.ch.; 21. B-Q2 not 21. K-B1?!, QxRP!

21. P-R5! N-N2!

If 21. ---, P-K5; 22. Q-R3, PxB?; 23. PxP wins.

22. PxP	PxP
23. B-QB4	KR-B1
24. B-N3	Q-N4
25. P-R4	PxP e.p.
26. RxP	QR-N1
27. B-R4	Q-R4ch.
28. K-B1	Q-Q1
29. R-R6	K-B2

If 29. ---, BxP; 30. RxP, BxB; 31. QxP, Q-B1 (what else?); 32. Q-N4, R-B2; 33. B-Q7, RxB; 34. QxR, B-B5; 35. QRxP and Black's

game is untenable.

30. Q-R3 R-B5?

If 30. ---, N-R4; 31. RxN, PxR; 32. QxPch., K-N2; 33. Q-K6, Q-B1; 34. B-Q7 and the black king is helpless. However, there is another threat which Black misses:

31. RxP! Q-N1

If 31. ---, KxR; 32. Q-R6ch. followed by 33. P-N6 ch. which is unthinkable.

32. Q-R6?

With 32. R-R6! White could have had excellent winning chances. The threat is 33. P-KB4! and 34. P-N6ch. Black is nearly defenseless.

I. 32. R-R6, P-B5?; 33. P-N6ch., K-B3; (---K-B1; 34. R-R8 wins) 34. Q-R4ch., K-B4; 35. B-Q7ch., K-K5; 36. QxB wins.

II. 32. R-R6, R/5-KN5; 33. B-Q7, BxP; 34. BxBP!, BxR; 35. BxR, BxB; 36. B-K6ch., NxB; 37. QxBch., K-B1; 38. QxPch. K-B2; 39. Q-K6ch., K-B2; 40. QxKP, R-N2; 41. R-B3ch., R-B2; 42. Q-N8ch. and wins.

If 34. ---, BxB; 35. BxR, BxB; 36. B-K6ch., NxB; 37. QxNch., K-B1; 38. QxPch., K-B2; 39. Q-K6ch., K-B1; 40. QxBch. and wins.

Or if 34. ---, NxB; 35. QxR, NxR; 36. Q-K6ch., K-B1; 37. R-B3ch., B-B5; 38. BxB, PxP; 39. QxNch. and Black's king is exposed and he will lose more pawns.

III. 32. R-R6!, R/5-KN5; 33. B-Q7, QR-N5; 34. R-R8 wins. Or 33. ---, Q-Q1, 34. B-Q6ch., NxB; 35. PxNch., K-K1; 36. R-R8ch., B-B1; 37. Q-R5ch. and 38. Q-B7 mate.

IV. 32. R-R6!, Q-Q1; 33. R-N3 and nothing can save Black. (34. P-N6ch. and 35. B-N5 mate.) If 33. ---, R-KN5; 34. P-N6ch., K-B3; 35. Q-R4ch. wins.

With the move chosen, White thought he would still win, but -

32. ----	Q-R1
33. B-N3	R-B2
34. B-Q2	QxQ
35. RxQ	P-B5
36. P-N6ch.	K-B3
37. RxP	N-B4
38. R/KR6-R3	KxP
39. B-B3	N-Q5
40. BxN	PxB
41. R-Q3	R-N5
42. K-K2	K-B4
43. K-B3	B-B1
44. R-R8	B-N2
45. R-R4	RxR
46. BxR	R-B5
47. B-N3	R-N5
48. R-Q1	B-B3
49. K-K2	P-Q6ch.
50. RxP	BxP

Drawn.

A game from the High School Championship Tournament in Tacoma. Comments by the winner of this game, WILLIAM BLACKMORE, who also won the tournament.

WILLIAM BLACKMORE

DAVE WILLIAMS

- | | |
|----------|-------|
| 1. P-K4 | P-QB4 |
| 2. N-KB3 | N-QB3 |
| 3. P-Q4 | PxP |
| 4. NxP | N-B3 |
| 5. N-QB3 | P-Q3 |
| 6. B-KN5 | P-K3 |
| 7. Q-Q2 | B-K2 |
| 8. O-O-O | B-Q2? |

P-QR3 or O-O! are better.

- | | |
|--------------|------|
| 9. N/4-N5 | P-Q4 |
| 10. PxP | NxP |
| 11. N-Q6ch.! | BxN! |
| 12. NxN | |

12. BxQ, B-B5; 13. NxN, BxQch.; 14. RxB, KxB; 15. N-B3 tends to release the pressure.

- | | |
|----------|-------|
| 12. ---- | P-B3 |
| 13. N-B3 | B-K2 |
| 14. B-R4 | Q-B2? |

P-QR3 is better.

- | | |
|-------------|------|
| 15. N-N5 | Q-Q1 |
| 16. N-Q6ch. | |

The second time around proves out Black's weaknesses.

- | | |
|----------|-------|
| 16. ---- | K-B1? |
|----------|-------|

After 16.----, BxN; 17. QxB, Q-K2; 18. Q-B7, R-QN1; (----R-QB1? 19. QxP, N-N5; 20. RxB, RxPch.; 21. K-N1, QxR?; 22. B-N5!) 19. B-QN5.

- | | |
|---------|--|
| 17. NxP | |
|---------|--|

Wins a piece.

- | | |
|----------|------|
| 17. ---- | Q-B2 |
|----------|------|

Q-N3 followed by R-N1 seems to offer some counter chances.

- | | |
|----------|---------|
| 18. QxB | Q-B5ch. |
| 19. R-Q2 | |

As foreseen, the knight is extremely important in covering Q8.

- | | |
|-----------|------|
| 19. ---- | N-K4 |
| 20. Q-Q4 | Q-R3 |
| 21. B-N3 | N-B3 |
| 22. Q-KB4 | Q-N3 |

White wins easily.

DUNCAN SUTTLES writes: "Here is another recent game, played in the last round of the Stamer Memorial Tournament, San Francisco. I lost \$190 by losing the game, a draw being sufficient for clear first. I seem to have a habit of messing up last round games, although my opponent in this one probably deserves some of the credit; judge for yourself."

Suttles has been brooding about this game ever since.

DUNCAN SUTTLES

WILLIAM ADDISON

1. P-KN3

A good move for a draw. Unfortunately I did not follow up this idea.

- | | |
|---------|-------|
| 1. ---- | N-KB3 |
| 2. B-N2 | P-Q4 |
| 3. P-Q3 | P-QB3 |

An excellent move with an interesting positional idea behind it.

- | | |
|----------|--------|
| 4. N-QB3 | P-K4 |
| 5. P-K4 | B-QN5! |

The point of move 3: the potentially bad bishop is exchanged.

- | | |
|---------|--|
| 6. B-Q2 | |
|---------|--|

Here KN-K2 might have been better.

- | | |
|-----------|--------|
| 6. ---- | P-Q5 |
| 7. QN-K2 | BxBoh. |
| 8. QxB | Q-K2 |
| 9. P-KB3! | |

A good move in line with (1).

- | | |
|-----------|------|
| 9. ---- | F-B4 |
| 10. N-R3? | |

B-R3 would have led to an easy draw, as white knights on K2 and B2 can defend the queen side and Black still has to worry about a king side onslaught. Even P-QB4 may be sufficient followed by a general liquidation at Q4 if Black captures.

- | | |
|----------|-------|
| 10. ---- | N-B3 |
| 11. N-B2 | R-QN1 |

Delays the development of the bishop in view of White's P-KB4.

- | | |
|-----------|-----|
| 12. O-O | O-O |
| 13. P-KB4 | |

Perhaps P-KR3 should be played, making exchanges impossible for Black.

- | | |
|----------|-------|
| 13. ---- | N-KN5 |
| 14. NxN? | |

After this I have nothing. Again, I should have avoided exchanges even by, say, N-Q1.

- | | |
|-----------|-------|
| 14. ---- | BxN |
| 15. QR-K1 | P-QN4 |

Looks like Black should win in a few moves.

- | | |
|------------|--|
| 16. P-KR3? | |
|------------|--|

Again avoiding exchanges is probably the wiser course, by N-B1 or possibly even exchanging the bishop by B-B3 is better.

- | | |
|----------|------|
| 16. ---- | BxN |
| 17. RxB | P-B5 |
| 18. K-R2 | |

For lack of anything better to do.

- | | |
|-----------|------|
| 18. ---- | Q-B4 |
| 19. P-QN3 | |

Otherwise P-B6 ruins my pawn structure. The move constitutes, however, a serious weakening of the queen side which is probably hopeless anyway.

19. ---- KR-Q1

Unclear why.

20. R/2-B2

As long as I maintain tension at K4 I have some hope.

20. ----

R-N3

21. P-KR4

P-KR3?

To prevent: 21.----, R-R3; 22. PxKP, N xP; 23. Q-N5, P-B3; 24. RxP, RxR; 25. Rx R, P-R3; 26. R-B6, PxQ; 27. RxQ, PxP; 28. PxP, NxP which seemed too unclear to Addison. Certainly a move of the king rook would have sufficed.

22. P-B5

P-B3

23. P-KN4

K-B2

24. P-N5

RPxP

25. PxP

R-R1ch.

26. K-N3

QR-N1

27. B-B3

Q-N5

28. Q-K2

KBPxP

29. B-R5ch.

K-B3

30. R-N2

R-R3

31. Q-N4

QR-KR1

32. R-KR1

PxP

33. K-B3

The last 11 moves show a complete change of fortune; White now has lots of counterplay and threatens mate on the move.

33. ----

K-K2

34. QxPch.

K-Q3

35. QxP??

Here I go to pieces. My opponent has 6 minutes left for 16 moves and I have half an hour. It is a common fact that an opponent's time trouble often makes one more nervous than the opponent. This is, for instance, the Canadian player Fuster's weapon. Here PxQP would have left Black with nothing better than a draw: 35. PxQP, Q-B6 (what else?) 36. R-QB1, QxPch.; 37. K-B2, (A) Q-K6ch.; 38. QxQ, PxQch.; 39. KxP, N-Q5; 40. B-K2 and White is not badly off. If (B) 35.----, RxB; 36. Q-N6ch., K-K2; 37. Q-K6ch., K-B1; 38. Q-B8ch. Perpetual check. If (C) 37.----, QxP; 38. B-B3, Q-K6ch; 39. QxQ, PxQch.; 40. KxP, N-Q5; 41. B-K4 Black may have something.

35. ----

K-B4

36. R-N6

Q-Q7

I did not even see this move.

37. P-N4ch.

K-N3

38. QxP???

A gross blunder. My last chance was P-QR4, which might have worked, as Addison intended to play PxRP, allowing P-N5 although 38.----, PxBP wins outright.

38. ----

Q-K6ch.

39. K-N2

RxRch.

40. BxR

NxQ

41. RxR

Q-B6ch.

42. K-N1

P-Q7

43. Resigns.

All notes by Duncan Suttles.

Seattle chess master OLAF ULVESTAD has been absent for several years now. In February of this year he played in a 12 player "Costa Del Sol" (Coast of the sun) Tourney. Pomar won with $8\frac{1}{2}-2\frac{1}{2}$. One reason was Ulvestad's win over third place finisher Portisch ($7\frac{1}{2}-3\frac{1}{2}$) which we give you here. Ulvestad finished with 6-5, winning 3, losing 2, and drawing 6. This tournament was held in Malaga, Spain. This game, and three others, was sent in by LYMAN T. JOHNSTON.

PORTISCH

ULVESTAD

1. P-Q4

N-KB3

2. P-QB4

P-K3

3. N-QB3

B-N5

4. B-N5

P-KR3

5. B-R4

P-B4

6. P-Q5

PxP

7. PxP

O-O

8. P-K3

P-Q3

9. B-Q3

QN-Q2

10. N-K2

N-K4

11. O-O

N-N3

12. B-N3

N-R4

13. P-B4

NxB

14. NxN

BxN

15. PxB

P-B4

16. Q-B2

N-R5

17. QR-K1

Q-B2

18. Q-K2

B-Q2

19. Q-R5

P-B5

20. B-N1

Q-Q1

21. P-K4

PxP

22. NxP

R-B4

23. Q-Q1

R-B2

24. K-R1

Q-KB1

25. P-N3

N-B4

26. Q-B2

P-KR4

27. Q-K2

P-R5

28. P-N4

N-R3

29. P-B5

R-K1

30. P-KR3

R-K4

31. Q-KN2

Q-K2

32. R-Q1

K-R1

33. R-B4

R-B1

34. Q-KB2

N-N1

35. P-N5?

Interesting but faulty. Of course if 35. QxQRP, P-KN4! wins a piece for Black. The best chance was 35. P-B6.

35. ----

R/K4xBP

36. QxPch.

N-R3

37. PxN

P-KN4!

38. RxR

RxQ

39. RxRch.

QxR

40. N-N5

B-B4

41. R-KB1

B-K5ch.

42. K-N1

Q-KN1

43. Resigns. (After 43. BxB, QxNch; 44. K-R1, QxRP White has no chances.

MCCORMICK WINS 1964 BRITISH COLUMBIA OPEN

Can there be a God? "Dirty" JIM MCCORMICK won his third major chess tournament in a row when he won the 1964 BRITISH COLUMBIA OPEN in Vancouver, B. C. June 13th and 14th. In a virtual tie for first, splitting first prize money with Jim, was ELOD MACSKASY of Vancouver, B. C. Both McCormick and Macskasy went the route undefeated, both winning 4 and drawing their two middle round games. They did not play each other. Their opponent's scoring favored MacSkasy by $\frac{1}{2}$ point. However, in the Median system of tie-breaking only the middle 4 in a 6 round tourney are counted. Here they broke even. BUT - and this was a big but - an opponent of MacSkasy named GORDON ROSTOKER won one game by forfeit from LESLIE VITANYI in the 4th round. This removed $\frac{1}{2}$ point from Rostoker's $3\frac{1}{2}$ as far as MacSkasy's Median point total was concerned, and proved to be the key to giving McCormick the championship!

This was, by no means, a two man race for top honors. In serious contention until the very end were CLARK HARMON of Renton and DUNCAN SUTTLES, formerly of Vancouver, B. C. but now of Reno, Nevada. Like the two leaders, in the first five rounds both Harmon and Suttles had given up only two draws apiece. The four players went into the last round all with 4-1 scores. However, in the fatal sixth, Big Jim defeated Harmon and MacSkasy defeated Suttles.

The defeats knocked Harmon and Suttles to 4th and 5th places respectively in the final tabulation. Their joint troubles proved to be a boon to IVARS DALBERGS of Portland who slipped into third place with his $4\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$ score. In the third round Dalbergs drew with McCormick but in the next round he was upset by Harmon & removed from title contention.

Young JIM CAMPBELL of Seattle lost his opening game to Dalbergs and then bounced back. He gave up a pair of draws the rest of the way and finished sixth although matching Harmon's and Suttles's 4-2 scores.

Twenty six players turned out for this championship tournament and another fifteen entered the "B" Division tournament. In the major event, 14, including Suttles, were British Columbians while 8 came up from Washington and 4 more from Oregon. In the "B" event, 12 were British Columbians, 2 were from Washington and 1 player came up from Oregon.

HAROLD MELCHIOR of Vancouver, B. C. won the "B" Division tourney although he lost to second place LARRY KAHN, also of Vancouver, and they both scored 5-1. The all important Median totals favored Melchior 14 to $12\frac{1}{2}$. EINO MOKS stumbled in the first round but then bounced high to almost catch the leaders, scoring $4\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$ and taking third place.

ROY GATES was tournament director. Judging from the name players involved, there must have been some quite interesting games in the tournament. Perhaps we can get some for publication.

This was another great tourney for McCormick. After a somewhat tainted win in the Puget Sound Open, he has won the Spokane Inland Empire Open and the Vancouver, B. C. Open in great style. Next stop Firecrest?

Since this tournament was the new substitute for the late International matches where Washington State was getting a thorough waxing every year, perhaps a tabulation of B. C. versus Washington games in this tourney would interest the reader.

We count Suttles as a B. C. player as he was one until he recently moved to Nevada. In the two sections of this tourney, Washington and British Columbia players encountered each other in 34 games. McCormick scored $2\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$, Harmon 1-1, Campbell $3\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$, Terry Nelson $2\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$, Pat Hickey 1-2, Lyman Johnston $1\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$, Buz Eddy $1\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$, Don King 1-2, Moks $3\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$ and Col. Ed Bosse 1-2. The grand total favored Washington State over British Columbia by a 19 to 15 count. Too bad it was not official!

It is hoped that this tournament will become an annual event taking its place beside our best Oregon, Idaho & Washington events.

1964 British Columbia Open "A" Division

Place	Name	Home	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-	W L D	Score	Med.	Solk.
1.	James McCormick	Seattle	W23	W18	D3	D5	W7	W4	4-0-2	5 -1	14 $\frac{1}{2}$	
2.	Elod MacSkasy	Vancouver	W19	W9	D4	D7	W10	W5	4-0-2	5 -1	14	
3.	Ivars Dalbergs	Portland	W6	W11	D1	L4	W17	W9	4-1-1	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$		
4.	Clark Harmon	Renton	W14	W21	D2	W3	D5	L1	3-1-2	4 -2	16 $\frac{1}{2}$	
5.	Duncan Suttles	Reno	W16	W8	W10	D1	D4	L2	3-1-2	4 -2	15 $\frac{1}{2}$	
6.	Jim Campbell	Seattle	L3	W25	D12	W16	W8	D7	3-1-2	4 -2	12	
7.	Brian Potter	Vancouver	W13	D24	W17	D2	L1	D6	2-1-3	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	15	
8.	Fred Schultz	Nanaimo	W26	L5	W24	W11	L6	D10	3-2-1	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	
9.	Terry Nelson	Seattle	W25	L2	D16	W12	W18	L3	3-2-1	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	
10.	Gordon Rostoker	Vancouver	W15	W23	L5	WF18	L2	D8	3-2-1	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	12	
11.	Fred Wuntschek	Vancouver	W12	L3	W19	L8	D14	W17	3-2-1	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -2 $\frac{1}{2}$	11	
12.	Dennis Jenquin	Portland	L11	W15	D6	L9	WF24	D13	2-2-2	3 -3	13	
13.	Colin Aykroyd	Vancouver	L7	W20	L18	W19	D15	D12	2-2-2	3 -3	11	17
14.	Deane Moore	Portland	L4	L17	W22	W21	D11	D15	2-2-2	3 -3	11	17
15.	Joe Kaltnecker	Port Alberni	L10	L12	W25	W23	D13	D14	2-2-2	3 -3	10	
16.	Timon Anderson	Vancouver	L5	W22	D9	L6	D20	D18	1-2-3	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	13	
17.	Pat Hickey	Seattle	D21	W14	L7	WF24	L3	L11	2-3-1	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	
18.	Leslie Vitanyi	Vancouver	W22	L1	W13	LF10	L9	D16	2-3-1	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	11	
19.	Lyman Johnston	Olympia	L2	W26	L11	L13	W23	D20	2-3-1	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	16 $\frac{1}{2}$
20.	Gustav Ackermann	Vancouver	L24	L13	D21	W25	D16	D19	1-2-3	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	14
21.	Buz Eddy	Kent	D17	L4	D20	L14	D22	W26	1-2-3	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	
22.	Don King	Terrace	L18	L16	L14	W26	D21	D23	1-3-2	2 -4		
23.	Leif Karell	Portland	L1	L10	W26	L15	L19	D22	1-4-1	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	11 $\frac{1}{2}$	
24.	Dargo Jurisich	Calgary	W20	D7	L8	LF17	LF12	LF	1-4-1	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 $\frac{1}{2}$	6	
25.	M. Kindret	Vancouver	L9	L6	L15	L20	L26	Bye	1-5-0	1 -5	10	
26.	Charles Lewis	Vancouver	L8	L19	L23	L22	W25	L21	1-5-0	1 -5	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	

Because of his forfeit loss, Vitanyi's 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ score jumped to 3 points in McCormick's column of Median points so you can see how just one forfeit can affect a Swiss tourney.

1964 British Columbia Open "B" Division

1.	Harold Melchior	Vancouver	W7	W8	W4	W5	L2	W6	5-1-0	5 -1	14	
2.	Larry Kahn	Vancouver	W11	L4	WF15	W8	W1	W7	5-1-0	5 -1	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	
3.	Eino Moks	Seattle	L10	W13	W14	W12	D7	W4	4-1-1	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$		
4.	M. F. Brunnhofer	Vancouver	W14	W2	L1	W6	W5	L3	4-2-0	4 -2	16	
5.	Joe Molnar	Vancouver	W9	W15	W6	L1	L4	W10	4-2-0	4 -2	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	
6.	Sven Karell	Portland	W13	W10	L5	L4	W8	L1	3-3-0	3 -3	13 $\frac{1}{2}$	
7.	William Miller	Vancouver	L1	W9	D11	W14	D3	L2	2-2-2	3 -3	13	
8.	Laurence West	Vancouver	W12	L1	W10	L2	L6	W13	3-3-0	3 -3	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	
9.	C. Falkowsky	Vancouver	L5	L7	Bye	L10	W12	W11	3-3-0	3 -3	10	
10.	Norman Forbes	Vancouver	W3	L6	L8	W9	D11	L5	2-3-1	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	12 $\frac{1}{2}$	
11.	Alex Mushey	Vancouver	L2	D12	D7	WF15	D10	L9	1-2-3	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	
12.	Roy Cummings	Vancouver	L8	D11	W13	L3	L9	W14	2-3-1	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -3 $\frac{1}{2}$	9	
13.	Col. Edward Bosse	Tacoma	L6	L3	L12	Bye	W14	L8	2-4-0	2 -4		
14.	Bill Symington	Vancouver	L4	Bye	L3	L7	L13	L12	1-5-0	1 -5		
15.	George Hollo	Vancouver	Bye	L6	LF2	LF11	LF	LF	1-5-0	1 -5		

Postal CHESS

R. R. MERK -- DIRECTOR
1026 N.E. 71 SEATTLE, WASH. 98115



New players start in "A" Division with a rating of 1600, "B" 1300, and in "C" 1000. Send your entries to the Postal Director with the entry fee of 50¢ per section of four players and if a new player in our tournaments, advise which Division you wish to play in. You can enter as many sections as you desire. Be sure to advise the director of the result of each game as it is completed. The winner advises and in the event of a draw, white advises.

REPORT OF GAMES COMPLETED TO MAY 31, 1964

	Section				Pts.
4/25	64A-1B	Rudzitis	1	Prentice	0 56
5/1	63A-11A	Kern	1	Skarsten	0 42
	63A-11B	Kern	1	Skarsten	0 42
	63A-11B	Kern	1	Franklin	0 50
5/2	63A-9A	Scripps	$\frac{1}{2}$	Lockhart	$\frac{1}{2}$ 16
5/4	63A-7B	Morris	$\frac{1}{2}$	Marsland	$\frac{1}{2}$ 48
	63C-4B	Bauman	1	Denison	0 60
	63A-9B	Seiter	$\frac{1}{2}$	Lockhart	$\frac{1}{2}$ 40
5/6	63A-6A	Stratton	1	Heathman	0 40
	Private	Skarsten	1	Jones	0 88
5/7	Private	Jones	1	Skarsten	0 30
5/13	63B-6A	Lyberger	1	Christey	0 56
	63B-6B	Lyberger	$\frac{1}{2}$	Christey	$\frac{1}{2}$ 6
5/14	64A-1A	Rudzitis	1	Prentice	0 46
	63A-5A	Collins	1	Pupols	0 94
	63A-5B	Pupols	1	Collins	0 10
5/15	63A-8A	Heathman	1	Eng	0 10
	63A-8A	Seiter	1	Eng	0 10
	63A-8A	Woodward	1	Eng	0 10
	63A-8B	Heathman	1	Eng	0 10
	63A-8B	Woodward	1	Eng	0 10
	63A-2A	Lockhart	1	Eng	0 10
	63A-2A	Erickson	1	Eng	0 10
	63A-3B	Lockhart	1	Eng	0 10
5/16	63A-7B	Morris	1	Weaver	0 14
5/20	63C-7A	Becker	1	Dearing	0 34
	63C-7B	Dearing	1	Becker	0 66
	63A-6B	Jones	1	Heathman	0 38
5/21	63A-2A	Eng	1	Campbell	0 10
	63A-2A	Lockhart	1	Campbell	0 10
	63A-2A	Erickson	1	Campbell	0 10
	63A-2B	Eng	1	Campbell	0 10
	63A-2B	Lockhart	1	Campbell	0 10
	63A-2B	Erickson	1	Campbell	0 10
5/22	64A-1A	Schmidt	1	Prentice	0 46
	53A-3B	Eilmes	1	Monrad	0 34
5/29	62B-4B	Hager	1	M. Miller	0 24
	62B-4A	Hager	1	Wm. Rupel	0 28
	62B-4B	Hager	1	Wm. Rupel	0 28
	63C-5B	Reh	1	Reid	0 58

	62B-4A	62B-4B
Hager	x 1 0 1	x 1 1 1 5
Nagel	0 x 1 1	0 x 1 1 4
M. Miller	1 0 x 1	0 0 x 0 2
Wm. Rupel	0 0 0 x	0 0 1 x 1

Hager wins this section and has chosen as his prize a 3 month extension to his membership.

We welcome the following new players in our postal chess tournaments; B.J. Betzer, Boyd E. Carroll, Mary N. Gorton and Raymond D. Norris. B. J. Peterson has also returned to play.

The following new members of the W.C.F. are also welcomed; B. J. Betzer, Bradley Hoover, Raymond D. Norris, and Boyd E. Carroll. Also former members returning are W. P. Hager, Daryl Delaney and Deane B. Moore.

The Russe De Guerre Chess Club of Camas, Washington recently held a tournament that they called "Chess for fun". The tournament was divided into 3 sections with a prize in each section of a year's membership in the Washington Chess Federation. Our thanks goes to the Russe De Guerre Chess Club for this recognition of the W.C.F.

Postal players should report the conclusion of their games as soon as completed to the Postal Director. The winner reports and in case of a draw white reports.

Eng and Campbell have withdrawn from all of their postal games as noted above and all of their opponents have been awarded 10 points for each game. If any of them feel that they have a win, send in the score for adjudication.

PLAY

CHESS BY MAIL

Unless you play a little postal chess, it is almost impossible to reach the highest standard of which you are capable in ordinary chess. In postal chess, you soon find yourself analysing more deeply and enjoying it hugely. You find yourself looking up openings in books and learning more exact opening play in a month than you would otherwise learn in five years. You will find yourself anxiously waiting for the mailman to bring your opponent's card.

WHY NOT GIVE POSTAL CHESS A TRY?

Send your entry fee of 50¢ to
 R. R. Merk
 1026 N.E. 71 Street
 Seattle, Wash. 98115

WASHINGTON CHESS LETTER
 1026 N.E. 71 SEATTLE, WASH. 98115
 RETURN POSTAGE -- FORM 3547 REQUESTED

B. B. Strachan
 5103 - N.E. 15 Ave
 Portland, Ore. 97211

1/65

POSTAL CHESS

RATINGS

"A" Division Above 1500			"B" Division 1200 to 1500		
1	Morris	2104	1	Heathman	1472
2	Pupols	2074	2	Lyberger	1460
3	Vellias	1913	3	Baskett	1438
4	Seiter	1896	4	Monrad	1432
5	Cummins	1871	5	Woodward	1417
6	Abrahamson	1868	6	Nagel	1410
7	Wilson	1813	7	J.R. Donnelly	1402
8	R.W. Allyn	1802	8	Merk	1400
9	Wick	1795	9	Christey	1390
10	C.L. Allyn	1795	10	Skarsten	1390
11	C. Harmon	1794	11	Dart	1380
12	Collins	1794	12	Gifford	1359
13	Knapp	1387	13	Rader	1355
14	Mage	1753	14	Cassino	1344
15	J. Nourse	1741	15	R. Raymond	1338
16	Kern	1734	16	Reddig	1337
17	Howard	1732	17	Carlson	1336
18	Weaver	1721	18	Betzer	1300
19	Rudzitis	1702	19	Bricher	1300
20	Marsland	1702	20	Carroll	1300
21	Erickson	1690	21	Clathold	1300
22	Jones	1689	22	Gorton	1300
23	Fry	1688	23	Golphence	1300
24	Eilmes	1663	24	Kern	1300
25	J. Parsons	1650	25	La Vergne	1300
26	Schmidt	1646	26	McFarling	1300
27	Blackmore	1640	27	Norris	1300
28	Brandt	1636	28	Schave	1300
29	Scripps	1633	29	Benson	1293
30	Stratton	1630	30	Dickson	1283
31	Bell	1620	31	Laugen	1279
32	Johnson	1600			
33	Sage	1600			
34	Schrader	1600			
35	Walker	1600			
36	H. Raymond	1592			
37	Lockhart	1586			
38	R. G. Smith	1554			
39	Franklin	1550			
40	Peterson	1544			
41	Guess	1524			
42	Hager	1516			
43	Frentice	1515			
44	Turner	1505			
45	Vanatta	1503			
46	Stiles	1503			
"C" Division Below 1200			"C" Division cont'd Below 1200		
1	Becker	1198	9	Dearing	1106
2	Reh	1192	10	Running	1094
3	Youngman	1173	11	R.M. Taylor	1079
4	Reid	1162	12	Jensen	1068
5	M. Miller	1161	13	Ward	1067
6	Wm. Rupel	1154	14	D. Rupel	1060
7	Baldwin	1134	15	Bauman	1060
8	Webb	1117	16	Fay	1046
			17	E. Denison	1041
			18	Stecher	1026
			19	Klein	1000
			20	Laing	1000
			21	Williams	984
			22	Keating	980
			23	Shives	078
			24	Link	974
			25	Moore	956
			26	Berg	948
			27	Dorwin	917
			28	Simmons	850
			29	F.J. Donnelly	844
			30	Cowan	808
			31	Cyr	664



SEATTLE
 WASH.
 1965